

CITY OF VANCOUVERREGULAR COUNCIL MEETING

A Regular meeting of the Council of the City of Vancouver was held on Tuesday, February 19, 1974, in the Council Chamber at approximately 2:00 p.m.

PRESENT: Mayor Phillips  
Aldermen Bowers, Gibson, Harcourt, Hardwick,  
Linnell, Marzari, Massey, Rankin  
and Volrich

ABSENT: Alderman Pendakur (Leave of Absence)

CLERK TO THE COUNCIL: D. H. Little

PRAYER The proceedings in the Council Chamber were opened with prayer.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The Mayor acknowledged the presence in the Council Chamber of Provisional Members of the Vancouver Junior League and students from the Carnarvon Elementary School, under the direction of Mr. Dubois.

'IN CAMERA' MEETING

The City Clerk advised that the 'In Camera' Committee approved the items for an 'In Camera' meeting later this day with the exception of the report of the Standing Committee on Civic Development on a meeting held 'In Camera' on Feb. 5th, which report should be dealt with in open Council this day and a property matter which it was agreed would be considered further 'In Camera' later this day from the point of view of whether it should be dealt with 'In Camera' or in open session.

ADOPTION OF MINUTES

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,  
SECONDED by Ald. Linnell,

THAT the Minutes of the Regular Council meeting (with the exception of the 'In Camera' portion), dated February 5, 1974, be adopted.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,  
SECONDED by Ald. Linnell,

THAT the Minutes of the Special Council meeting (Public Hearing), dated January 31, 1974, be adopted.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Ald. Linnell,  
SECONDED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT the Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, Mayor Phillips in the Chair.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

DELEGATIONS AND UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Birks Building:  
Vancouver Centre Development Limited

At the meeting of Council on February 5, 1974, it was agreed to hear delegations on the matter of the proposed demolition of the Birks Building. The Council, also on February 5, 1974, deferred a Board of Administration report dated January 28, 1974 regarding the Vancouver Centre Development Limited, until this meeting.

cont'd....

Regular Council, February 19, 1974 . . . . . 2

DELEGATIONS & UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Birks Building (cont'd)

Delegations were heard as follows:

- Mr. John Oliphant - brief filed - against the demolition of the Birks Building and requesting Council to defer approving the agreement to lease
- Mrs. O. Wilkes - against the demolition of the Birks Building
- Mr. H. Kalman - against the demolition of the Birks Building and asking that previous action taken by Council be rescinded.

MOVED by Ald. Volrich,

THAT this whole matter be deferred pending a report from the Board of Administration on:

- (a) the City's legal position in the matter,
- (b) the present position from a planning point of view,
- (c) options which may be open to Council,
- (d) the possible consequences should Council not approve the proposed agreement;

FURTHER THAT a Special Committee of Council be authorized to meet with representatives of the Vancouver Centre Development Limited to discuss the matter further.

- CARRIED

(Alderman Massey voted against the motion)

COMMUNICATIONS OR PETITIONS

1. Motor Vehicle Testing Station

The Council noted the following communication from the Minister of Transport and Communications dated February 5, 1974:

" I have received from the Honourable James G. Lorimer, Minister of Municipal Affairs, a copy of the letter you wrote to Mr. Lorimer dated January 21 and a copy of the motion passed by the Vancouver City Council on January 15, 1974, concerning the Motor-vehicle Inspection Station.

I realize that the location of the Motor-vehicle Inspection Station in the City of Vancouver, at the corner of Alberni and Bidwell Streets, is not an ideal location for this facility in the light of existing traffic patterns and the development of the West End of Vancouver. My Department has been discussing with the Department of Public Works the future requirements for motor-vehicle inspection stations in Vancouver and there is a clear awareness that there needs to be some relocation of facilities. These discussions are in the early stages and it is not possible at this time to say what changes will take place.

I think it is necessary to point out to you that since the Provincial Government took over the Vancouver Motor-vehicle Inspection Station at the request of your City Council in 1970, the addition of the Burnaby Motor-vehicle Inspection Station has been heavily used by citizens in the east part of your City, citizens who formerly had to travel to the Vancouver Inspection Station for service. Additionally, the Richmond Motor-vehicle Inspection Station has helped very considerably in servicing people in the southern areas of your City, again people who formerly had to go to the Vancouver Inspection Station.

You have my assurance that we are aware of the congestion problems that exist in the area of the Vancouver Inspection Station and in the long range we plan to do something to relieve this condition."

cont'd....

COMMUNICATIONS OR PETITIONS (cont'd)

Motor Vehicle Inspection Station (cont'd)

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,  
THAT the letter from the Minister of Transport and Communications, dated February 5, 1974, be received and referred to the City Engineer and the Director of Planning for a watching brief on this matter.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

2. Professional Wrestling on Sunday  
(Sunday Sports By-law No. 3711)

The Council noted the following letter from the Northwest Wrestling Promotions dated February 6, 1974:

" I would like to have the Sunday Sport's Bylaw #3711, amended to permit Professional Wrestling on Sundays from 1:30 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. in the City of Vancouver.

The reason for my request being the availability of buildings such as the P.N.E. Agrodome and the Pacific Coliseum.

Toronto permits Professional Wrestling on Sundays and they have improved their attendance considerably at these events.

I believe other sports such as Professional Football and Professional Hockey are allowed to perform on Sundays between designated hours.

Thanking you for your consideration. "

MOVED by Ald. Linnell,  
THAT the Corporation Counsel be instructed to bring in an amendment to the Sunday Sports By-law No. 3711 to include wrestling.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

3. Comments on Mr. C. Campbell's Minority  
Report on False Creek

The Council noted a letter from Alderman Hardwick dated February 12, 1974, commenting on statements made by a former employee of the Planning Department concerning False Creek.

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,  
THAT the letter from Alderman Hardwick be received.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT & OTHER REPORTS

A. BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION  
General Report, February 15, 1974

Works and Utility Matters (February 15, 1974)

The Council considered this report which contains three clauses identified as follows:

- Cl. 1: Flat Rates for Property Owners' share of Completed Local Improvement Projects
- Cl. 2: T.V. Inspection of Sewers
- Cl. 3: Delta Landfill: Supply of Cover Material

MOVED by Ald. Bowers,  
THAT the recommendations of the Board of Administration contained in clauses 1, 2 and 3 of this report be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Regular Council, February 19, 1974 . . . . . 4

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT & OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION  
General Report, Feb. 15, (cont'd)

Building and Planning Matters (February 15, 1974)

The Council considered this report which contains four clauses identified as follows:

- Cl. 1: Building By-law - Board of Appeal
- Cl. 2: Building Permit Application for alterations to Existing Duplex Dwelling at 3541 Point Grey Road
- Cl. 3: Strata Title Application - New Construction 2777 Oak Street
- Cl. 4: Strata Title Application - New Construction 680 East 5th Avenue

MOVED by Ald. Bowers,  
THAT the recommendations of the Board of Administration contained in Clauses 1 and 2 of this report be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Strata Title Application: New Construction  
2777 Oak Street (Clause 3)

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,  
THAT the application of Glenfield Holdings Ltd., under the Strata Titles Act re new apartment development at 2777 Oak Street be approved subject to application of relevant City By-laws.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Strata Title Application: New Construction  
#680 East 5th Avenue (Clause 4)

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,  
THAT the application of Farmers & Merchants Trust Co. Ltd., under the Strata Titles Act re new apartment development at #680 East 5th Avenue, be approved subject to application of relevant City By-laws.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Fire and Traffic Matters (February 15, 1974)

MOVED by Ald. Linnell,  
THAT the recommendation of the Board of Administration contained in this report on the subject of Conversion of Fire Department Radio System, be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Finance Matters (February 15, 1974)

This report of the Board of Administration contains seven clauses identified as follows:

- Cl. 1: Investment Matters (Various Funds) December, 1973
- Cl. 2: Marine Insurance
- Cl. 3: Tender - Electric Lamps, Starters and Ballasts
- Cl. 4: Request for Grant Equal to Taxes: Hospital Properties
- Cl. 5: 1973-1974 L.I.P. - Report No. 2
- Cl. 6: Tender Awards
- Cl. 7: Theatre Rental: CKNW Orphans Fund

Regular Council, February 19, 1974 . . . . . 5

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT & OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION  
General Report, Feb. 15 (cont'd)

Finance Matters (cont'd)

The Council took action on this report as follows:

MOVED by Ald. Bowers,  
THAT the recommendations of the Board of Administration contained in Clauses 1, 2 and 3 of this report be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Request for Grant Equal to Taxes:  
Hospital Properties (Clause 4)

MOVED by Ald. Volrich,  
THAT a grant in lieu of taxes be made to the following two hospitals in the amounts as listed (exclusive of local improvement charges):

Holy Family Hospital	\$4,960.02
St. Vincent's Hospital	7,065.83

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY  
AND BY THE REQUIRED  
MAJORITY

MOVED by Ald. Linnell,  
THAT Clauses 5 and 6 of this report be received for information.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Theatre Rental: CKNW Orphans Fund (Clause 7)

MOVED by Ald. Bowers,  
THAT a grant of \$400 be made to the CKNW Orphans Fund, to be applied towards the \$1,200 rental of the Queen Elizabeth Theatre.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY  
AND BY THE REQUIRED  
MAJORITY

Property Matters (February 15, 1974)

The Council considered this report which contains three clauses identified as follows:

- Cl. 1: Acquisition for Park Site - 1139 Pendrell Street
- Cl. 2: Acquisition for Knight Street Widening  
1392 East 28th Avenue
- Cl. 3: Acquisition for Replotting and Future Boundary  
Road Widening, 4885 Boundary Road

MOVED by Ald. Harcourt,  
THAT the recommendations of the Board of Administration contained in clauses 1, 2 and 3 of this report be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Regular Council, February 19, 1974 . . . . . 6

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT & OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

B. DEPARTMENTAL REPORT  
February 15, 1974

Works and Utility Matters (February 15, 1974)

Paper Recycling Project:  
City Collection

MOVED by Ald. Bowers,  
THAT this report of the City Engineer be received and approval be given to X-Kalay Foundation Society to collect paper from a fourth area in the City on the same basis as the City collection with the Society being responsible for its own notices and,

FURTHER THAT the location be determined by the City Engineer in consultation with the X-Kalay Foundation Society.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Building and Planning Matters (February 15, 1974)

The Council considered this report which contains five clauses identified as follows:

- Cl. 1: Park Extensions, Strathcona
- Cl. 2: Strathcona Linear Park
- Cl. 3: Boat Shelter - 23 South Renfrew Street
- Cl. 4: Proposed Public Housing Projects for Senior Citizens - Kitsilano
- Cl. 5: Proposed Zoning By-law amendments: between Drake and Cordova Streets

The Council took action on this report as follows:

Park Extensions, Strathcona (Clause 1)

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,  
THAT the recommendations of the Director of Planning contained in this report, be approved.  
- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Strathcona Linear Park (Clause 2)

MOVED by Ald. Marzari,  
THAT the recommendations of the Director of Planning and the City Engineer, contained in this report, be approved.  
- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Boat Shelter: 23 South Renfrew Street (Clause 3)

MOVED by Ald. Bowers,  
THAT, pursuant to request received, permission be granted to hear a delegation on this matter and arrangements be left in the hands of the City Clerk.  
- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT & OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

DEPARTMENTAL REPORT  
February 15 (cont'd)

Building and Planning matters (cont'd)

Proposed Public Housing Projects for  
Senior Citizens: Kitsilano (Clause 4)

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,  
THAT the recommendation of the Director of Planning contained  
in this clause be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Proposed Zoning By-law amendments for both  
sides of Granville Street, between Drake  
and Cordova Streets (Clause 5)

MOVED by Ald. Harcourt,  
THAT the information submitted by the Director of Planning  
in this clause be received.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Licenses and Claims Matters (February 15)

The Council considered this report which contains two clauses  
identified as follows:

- Cl. 1: Pacific National Exhibition:  
Extension of Time for Sunday Sports
- Cl. 2: Possession of Knives in Public Places

The Council took action as follows:

Pacific National Exhibition:  
Extension of Time for Sunday Sports (Clause 1)

MOVED by Ald. Volrich,  
THAT this report of the Corporation Counsel be received; it  
being understood that the Corporation Counsel will report further  
on sports activities not being restricted to concluding at 6:00 p.m.,  
as referred to in the following excerpt from By-law No. 3711,  
Section 3(b):

"no such game or sport shall be commenced before half past  
one o'clock in the afternoon or be continued after six  
o'clock in the afternoon".

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Possession of Knives in Public Places (Clause 2)

MOVED by Ald. Volrich,  
THAT this clause be referred back to the Corporation Counsel  
with the request that he prepare the most appropriate by-law, for  
Council consideration, to give effect to the following:

"that no person being in a public place shall have in his  
possession a knife or reasonable facsimile without lawful  
excuse. "

- CARRIED

(Aldermen Harcourt, Massey and Rankin voted against  
the motion)

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,  
THAT the Council, through the Canadian Federation of Mayors and  
Municipalities, request the Federal Government to amend the Criminal  
Code to the effect that no person being in a public place shall  
have in his possession a knife or reasonable facsimile without  
lawful excuse.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Regular Council, February 19, 1974 . . . . . 8

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT & OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

DEPARTMENTAL REPORT  
February 15 (cont'd)

Finance Matters (February 15)

POSER & RESER Expenditures

MOVED by Ald. Bowers,

THAT the information in this clause submitted by the Director of Social Planning be received.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

C. Strata Title Application:  
New Construction, 1790 West 11th Avenue

The Board of Administration, under date of February 15, 1974, submitted the following report:

" The Director of Planning and the Director of Finance report as follows:-

"In accordance with the City's current regulations governing the approval of Strata Titles Applications for new buildings, an application has been received from Landmark Projects Corp. Ltd., for approval of a Strata Plan for a new three storey with basement, wood frame apartment building, containing fourteen dwelling units at 1790 West 11th Avenue.

The applicants have submitted a prospectus which provides the following information under the appropriate sections of the regulations:-

N.B. See appendix "A" for site plan.

Section 1(2) - Financing

The Director of Finance has reviewed the prospectus with regard to the financing information and finds the following:-

Subsection (a) - The true interest on financing

Financing for a first mortgage has been arranged through -

Investors Syndicate,  
211 Pemberton Building,  
744 West Hastings Street,  
Vancouver, B.C.  
Mr. H. G. Marshall, Branch Manager.

The interest rate is 10% per annum with a 5 year term and an amortization period of 30 years. The lender will loan up to 75% of the purchase price subject to Investors Syndicate approval of the purchaser's application.

Second mortgage financing of \$5,000.00 is available to those who qualify through -

B.C. Government,  
Home-Owner Assistance,  
Department of Finance,  
827 Fort Street,  
Victoria, B.C.  
Mr. S. Hamilton, Provincial Administrator.

The interest rate is 8 3/4% per annum with no term and an amortization period equal to that of the first mortgage (in this case 30 years).

The purchaser has the option of arranging his or her own financing.

Subsection (b) - Any bonusing of Financing

A \$50.00 application fee is charged by Investors Syndicate upon approval of the first mortgage application.

cont'd....



BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT & OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Strata Title Application:  
New Construction, 1790 West 11th Avenue (cont'd)

Subsection (c) - Details of a management Contract and  
monthly servicing

Attached to the prospectus is a copy of the proposed contract under which Landmark Projects Corporation Limited undertakes to manage the project for the Strata Corporation for a period of one year.

The building manager will arrange for all maintenance duties (hallways, grounds, etc.) and monthly bills (water, hydro, etc.) will be routed to Landmark Projects head office where they will be paid from the monthly maintenance assessments collected on behalf of the Strata Corporation.

Subsection (d) - Taxes and all other costs

Taxes are estimated as follows:

<u>Suite Number</u>	<u>Amount</u>
#101	\$320.00
#102	\$350.00
#103	\$330.00
#104	\$350.00
#201	\$280.00
#202	\$310.00
#203	\$295.00
#204	\$310.00
#205	\$220.00
#301	\$280.00
#302	\$310.00
#303	\$295.00
#304	\$310.00
#305	\$220.00

The Provincial Home-Owner Grant, currently at \$200 will reduce the gross taxes by \$200 in those cases where the owner occupies the suite, but if he rents it out to someone else he would not be eligible.

Monthly maintenance assessments are based upon the proposed operating budget and are listed as follows:-

<u>Suite Number</u>	<u>Amount</u>
#101	\$66.00
#102	\$66.00
#103	\$66.00
#104	\$67.50
#201	\$64.00
#202	\$64.00
#203	\$64.00
#204	\$66.00
#205	\$37.00
#301	\$65.00
#302	\$65.00
#303	\$65.00
#304	\$66.00
#305	\$37.00

The purchaser is responsible for his light, telephone and cablevision bills.

The proposed operating budget for the building is as follows:-

<u>Fixed Costs</u>	
Management fees	\$2,400.00
Insurance	1,600.00
Annual Meeting	100.00
Audit	100.00

Regular Council, February 19, 1974 . . . . . 10

Strata Title Application:  
New Construction, 1790 West 11th Avenue (cont'd)

Operating Costs

Heat and Light	\$1,600.00
Water	300.00
Scavenging	400.00
Laundry	300.00
Intercom	400.00
Caretaker	1,800.00
Maintenance and repairs	500.00
Reserve	800.00
	<u>\$10,300.00</u>

Annual receipts from  
monthly assessments \$10,300.00

There are no other costs that we are aware of.

Subsection (e) - Shared facilities and common areas

The Director of Planning has examined the prospectus and plans and finds the following:-

Common Property

All property not specified in the registered Strata Plan as included in a purchaser's Strata Lot is maintained and administered as 'common property'. This includes -

Hallways and Lobby Areas

All common areas and walkways within the building designed for the use of all strata lot owners.

Parking

There are 23 parking stalls included in the underground lot. One stall is assigned to each purchaser for his exclusive use. The remaining stalls may be used for guest parking, storage, etc., at the discretion of the Strata Corporation.

Laundry Room

This large room in the underground lot is common property, contains the laundry machines and facilities and is designed for the exclusive use of the strata lot owners.

Locker Room

All common property with one locker being assigned to each strata lot owner for his exclusive use.

Miscellaneous Rooms

These include the boiler, electrical, elevator and garbage rooms all located in the underground area and all common property to be used at the discretion of the Strata Corporation.

Patios

All outside patios are drawn in the Strata Plan as pertaining to individual strata lots. Patios are for the exclusive and private use by each strata lot owner of a main floor suite. Patios are not common property.

Balconies

Balconies are also included in the Strata Lot area thus, they are designed for the private and exclusive use by their strata lot owners (2nd and 3rd floor purchasers). Balconies are not common property.

cont'd....

Regular Council, February 19, 1974 . . . . . 11

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT & OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Strata Title Application:

New Construction, 1790 West 11th Avenue (cont'd)

Exterior Side-yards

Exterior side-yards (excluding suite patios) are common property and are for the exclusive use of all strata lot owners.

Attached to the prospectus also is a copy of by-laws proposed for the Strata Corporation.

Section 1(3) - Quality of Construction

The City Building Inspector advises that he has received a letter from Daine M. Sarter, Architect, in which he states that the above building compares favourably with the control standards set by the C.M.H.C., as contained in the Canadian Code for Residential Construction."

Your Board submits the foregoing report for the CONSIDERATION of Council. "

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT the application of Landmark Projects Corp. Ltd., under the Strata Titles Act re new apartment development at 1790 West 11th Avenue, be approved subject to application of relevant City By-laws.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

D. Strata Title Application:

New Construction, 1725 Pendrell Street

The Board of Administration, under date of February 15, 1974, submitted the following report:

'The Director of Planning and the Director of Finance report as follows:

"In accordance with the City's current regulations governing the approval of Strata Titles applications for new buildings, an application has been received from DAON Development Corporation Ltd., for approval of a Strata Plan for a new 17 storey high-rise apartment building containing 104 dwelling units at 1725 Pendrell Street.

The applicants have submitted a prospectus which provides the following information under the appropriate sections of the regulations:

N.B: See Appendix 'A' for site plan.

Section 1(2) - Financing

The Director of Finance has reviewed the prospectus with regard to the financing information and finds the following:

Subsection (a) - The True Interest On Any Financing:

The prospectus states that First Mortgages in the amount not to exceed 90% of the sale price may be arranged through the Royal Trust Company, Royal Trust Tower, Bentall Centre at 9% interest for a five year term and a 30 year amortization period.

Purchasers may arrange their own financing at no extra cost.

Second Mortgages of up to \$5,000 are available to those who qualify from the Government of British Columbia.

The Mortgages are insured by the Mortgage Insurance Company of Canada at 1-3/4% of the mortgage amount.

cont'd...

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT & OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Strata Title Application:  
New Construction, 1725 Pendrell Street (cont'd)

Subsection (b) - Any Bonusing of Financing:

There is no bonusing of financing.

Subsection (C) - Details of a Management Contract & Monthly Servicing Costs:

A Management Contract for One year between D.D.L. Management Ltd. (a wholly owned subsidiary of DAON Development Corporation) and the Strata Corporation will be entered into.

Under the Strata Titles Act, a Management Contract may be terminated on 90 days notice, at the option of the owners.

Management fee will be \$6,00 per unit per month.

D.D.L. Management Ltd. will be responsible to the Strata Corporation for the operations and maintenance of the common area of the Strata Corporation.

Estimated Monthly Servicing Costs

- One Bedroom - approximately \$42.53 per month
- One-half Bedroom - approximately \$46.96 per month
- Two Bedroom - approximately \$50.09 per month.

Included in the above are salaries for Resident Caretaker, Fuel, Electricity for Common Areas, Insurance, Management Fee, Allowance for Repairs and Maintenance to the Common Areas.

There is no reserve but each owner shall pay an equivalent of three months maintenance fee when purchasing his unit which will be credited to the Strata Corporation Reserve Fund. This Reserve Fund will be placed in an interest bearing bank account, to be used at the discretion of the Strata Corporation Owners.

Subsection (d) - Taxes & All Other Costs:

Estimated Annual Taxes

- One Bedroom - approximately \$665.00 gross
- One-half Bedroom - approximately \$710.00 gross
- Two Bedroom - approximately \$750.00 gross.

The Provincial Government provides the Home Owners Grant of \$200.00 per year for owners who reside in the Strata unit as their primary residence. The amount of the applicable Home Owners Grant would be deducted from the estimated gross taxes for those who qualify. There are no other costs that we are aware of.

Subsection (e) - Shared Facilities & Common Areas:

The Director of Planning has examined the prospectus and plans and finds the following:

Except for the 104 Strata Lots, all other portions of the site and structure are common property.

cont'd....

Regular Council, February 19, 1974 . . . . . 13

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT & OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Strata Title Application:

New Construction, 1725 Pendrell Street (cont'd)

Shared Facilities

The Laundry Room and Locker Room are provided for the use of the Strata Corporation owners. One locker will be assigned to each owner. The Outdoor Swimming Pool will be for the use of all owners of the Strata Plan Corporation.

Parking

124 parking spaces are provided on three levels below the main floor.

One underground parking space will be assigned to each owner. A limited number of extra spaces will be available.

Balconies & Patios

Balconies and Patios are part of the Common Area and not part of the individual Strata Lots. Each Strata Lot owner will have the exclusive use of the adjacent balcony or patio.

Section 1(3) - Quality of Construction

The City Building Inspector advises that he has received a letter from Denis Tusar Associates, Architects & Planners, advising the above building is based on C.M.H.C. standards, comparing favourably with the quality control requirements as contained in the Canadian Code for Residential Construction."

Your Board submits the foregoing for the CONSIDERATION of Council.'

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT the Application of DAON Development Corporation, under the Strata Titles Act re new apartment development at 1725 Pendrell Street, be approved subject to application of relevant City By-laws.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

E. Report of Standing Committee on  
Social Services, January 31, 1974

The Council considered this report which contains six clauses identified as follows:

- Cl. 1: Grant Request for a Fraserview Neighbourhood Services Community Worker
- Cl. 2: Lengthy Delays encountered by People Applying for Mincome
- Cl. 3: Welfare Line-ups
- Cl. 4: Management of the Dangerous Patient in Vancouver
- Cl. 5: Vancouver Indian Centre Requests for Assistance
- Cl. 6: Grant Request - Vancouver Peoples' Law School

The Council took action as follows:

cont'd....

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT & OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Report of Standing Committee on  
Social Services, January 31 (cont'd)

Grant Request for a Frasersview Neighbourhood  
Services Community Worker (Clause 1)

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,  
THAT the recommendations of the Committee contained in this  
clause be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY  
AND BY THE REQUIRED  
MAJORITY

Clauses 2 and 3

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,  
THAT the recommendations of the Committee contained in these  
two clauses be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Management of the Dangerous  
Patient in Vancouver (Clause 4)

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,  
THAT the recommendations of the Committee contained in this  
clause be approved after changing recommendation (A) to read as  
follows:

"THAT the Council of the City of Vancouver request the  
Attorney-General to develop an assessment centre for  
potentially dangerous mentally ill persons as part of  
the Remand Centre to be located across from the new  
Courts;"

(underlining indicates change)

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Clauses 5 and 6

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,  
THAT the information submitted by the Committee in these two  
clauses of the report be received.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

F. Report of Standing Committee on  
Finance and Administration,  
January 31, 1974

The Council considered this report which contains four clauses  
identified as follows:

- Cl. 1: Store Closing Hours
- Cl. 2: Civic Grants: Social Services
- Cl. 3: Cultural Grants
- Cl. 4: Preliminary 1974 Operating Budget  
Priority Review

MOVED by Ald. Bowers,  
THAT the recommendation of the Committee contained in clause 2  
of this report be approved and the information set out by the  
Committee in clauses 1, 3 and 4 be received.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Regular Council, February 19, 1974 . . . . . 15

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT & OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

G. Report of Standing Committee on  
Civic Development, February 5, 1974

The Council considered this report which contains three clauses identified as follows:

- Cl. 1: False Creek: 1974 Capital Budget
- Cl. 2: Citizens' Advisory Panel
- Cl. 3: Procedure for Public Involvement -  
False Creek

The Council took action as follows:

False Creek: 1974 Capital Budget (Clause 1)

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,  
THAT the recommendation of the Committee contained in this clause be approved, and,

FURTHER THAT the position of Planner III, as referred to in the Board of Administration report dated February 1, 1974, which report was deferred at the last meeting of Council, be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Clauses 2 and 3

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,  
THAT the recommendations of the Committee contained in these two clauses be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

---

The Council recessed at approximately 3:55 p.m., and following an 'In Camera' meeting in the Mayor's Office reconvened in open session in the Council Chamber at approximately 5:30 p.m., with the same members, with the exception of Alderman Marzari, present.

---

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT & OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

H. Report of Standing Committee on  
Housing, February 5, 1974

The Council considered this report which contains three clauses identified as follows:

- Cl. 1: Senior Citizens Housing Site:  
Association of Benevolent Craftsmen
- Cl. 2: Acquisition of Land at 22nd and Crown for a  
Cooperative Housing Proposal
- Cl. 3: Anchor Hotel

MOVED by Ald. Harcourt,  
THAT the recommendation of the Committee contained in clause 1 of this report be approved, and the information submitted by the Committee in clauses 2 and 3, be received.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Regular Council, February 19, 1974 . . . . . 16

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT & OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

I. Report of Standing Committee on  
Social Services, February 3, 1974

The Council considered this report which contains three clauses identified as follows:

- Cl. 1: Probation Services Department and Provincial Courts (Family Division) - Reorganization
- Cl. 2: C.P.I.C. System and Probationers
- Cl. 3: Shelter - Emergency Accommodation Service  
Operated by the Provincial Government

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT the recommendations of the Committee contained in Clause 1 of this report be approved, and the information submitted in clauses 2 and 3 be received.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

J. Report of Standing Committee on  
Community Development, February 7, 1974

The Council considered this report which contains three clauses identified as follows:

- Cl. 1: Public Meetings
- Cl. 2: Grandview-Woodland Planning Program
- Cl. 3: Champlain Heights Advisory Committee

MOVED by Ald. Volrich,

THAT the information submitted by the Committee in these three clauses of the report be received.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

K. Report of Standing Committee  
on Social Services, February 14, 1974

The Council considered this report which contains two clauses identified as follows:

- Cl. 1: Vancouver Indian Centre - Request for use of space at North Area Unit
- Cl. 2: Vancouver Indian Centre - Request for Surplus City-owned Car

The Council took action as follows:

Vancouver Indian Centre - Request for  
Use of Space at North Area Unit (Clause 1)

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT the recommendations of the Committee contained in this clause be approved, after inserting the words "until the end of the year" after the words "North Unit" in the first paragraph of the recommendation.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY  
AND BY THE REQUIRED  
MAJORITY

Vancouver Indian Centre: Request for  
Surplus City-owned car (Clause 2)

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT the recommendation of the Committee contained in this clause be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY  
AND BY THE REQUIRED  
MAJORITY



Regular Council, February 19, 1974 . . . . . 17

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT & OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

L. Report of Standing Committee on  
Community Development, February 14, 1974

The Council considered this report which contains two clauses identified as follows:

- Cl. 1: Appreciation of Neighbourhood Improvement Program
- Cl. 2: Champlain Heights Advisory Committee

MOVED by Ald. Volrich,

THAT the recommendation of the Committee contained in clause 1 of this report be approved and the information submitted in clause 2 be received.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

During consideration of Clause 1, Alderman Volrich advised that he had received a letter from the Minister of Housing advising that consideration was being given to the designation of Gastown and Skid Road areas as Neighbourhood Improvement Program areas, as had been requested by the Council.

M. Rezoning Application: G.V.R.D.  
4th Avenue and Wallace Street

The Director of Planning submitted the following report under date of February 18, 1974:

" An application has been received from Franklin Wiles, Greater Vancouver Regional District, requesting an amendment to the Zoning and Development By-law whereby the above-described property be rezoned from RS-1 One Family Dwelling District to RM-3A Multiple Dwelling District for the purpose of "Erecting an apartment building for senior citizens to be financed under Section 43 and 44 of National Housing Act".

The architect's drawings, prepared by Keith-King and Freschi, Architects, forming part of the application and marked "received City Planning Office, January 16, 1974" indicate a three storey structure plus basement containing 99 units for senior citizens housing.

The subject property is presently City-owned. City Council, on June 29, 1973, adopted a motion that the property be sold to the Greater Vancouver Regional District subject to a number of conditions one of which reads:

"That the sale be subject to a submission of a scheme of development to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning, and a successful rezoning of the land to an F.S.R. not exceeding 1.0."

On November 20, 1973, City Council adopted the recommendations of a report of the Standing Committee on Housing dated October 30, 1973, which reads, in part:

"That Council approve the (GVRD) Housing Dept.'s proposal for the development of the site at Fourth and Wallace for senior citizens housing on the basis of a three storey building density of 1.0 and RM-3A zoning."

The RM-3A zone, requested in the application, allows a basic floor space ratio of 1.0 which can be increased through bonuses. However, the City Planning Department has requested a letter from the Greater Vancouver Regional District indicating that they, as owners of the property, will not develop it to a floor space ratio exceeding 1.0.

cont'd....

Regular Council, February 19, 1974 . . . . . 18

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT & OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Rezoning Application: G.V.R.D.  
4th Avenue and Wallace Street (cont'd)

The plan as submitted does not conform to the side yard requirement on the western side of the property. If the plan is not amended it will require approval from the Board of Variance before a development permit can be issued.

The Urban Design Panel on December 10, 1973, considered the proposal but did not make a recommendation. The Panel again considered the proposal on January 21, 1974, but "saw no justification for a change in its views".

The Technical Planning Board at its meeting of February 8, 1974, recommended that the application be approved.

On February 15, 1974, the Vancouver City Planning Commission endorsed the recommendation of the Technical Planning Board.

It is RECOMMENDED that the reports of the Technical Planning Board and the Vancouver City Planning Commission be received and the matter be referred for the consideration of Council at a Public Hearing. "

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT the recommendation of the Director of Planning contained in this report be approved, and, therefore, the matter be referred to a Public Hearing.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

N. Report of Special Committee re  
Orpheum Theatre, February 18, 1974

It was agreed to defer consideration of this report pending the hearing of delegations later this day.

O. Report of the Standing Committee  
on Civic Development, February 5, 1974

The Council noted a report from Aldermen Hardwick and Massey on behalf of the Standing Committee on Civic Development, dated February 5, 1974, as follows:

"CONSIDERATION

1. Termination of month-to-month Tenancies  
between Alder and Ash Streets in Area 6,  
False Creek

The Development Consultant submitted the following report under date of February 4, 1974:

"Introduction

On 18 December, 1973 Council approved a report from the Development Consultant regarding "Procedural Plans for the Development of City Owned Lands in Area 6 False Creek" which contained among other things the recommendation that the first stage extend from approximately Ash Street to Alder Street, and the procedure for the appointment of design teams to prepare design concepts.

Concurrently with this report, the Development Consultant is recommending the composition of the design teams, and a procedure which calls for the design concepts to be completed by the 22nd April and for rezoning to be completed by the end of June.

cont'd....

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT & OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)Report of Standing Committee on  
Civic Development, February 5 (cont'd)

It is anticipated that construction on certain elements of the first stage such as the park, marina and some of the dwelling units can be started during the summer or early fall of this year.

The City has a number of tenants on the first phase property all of whom have leases on a month-to-month basis.

The Property and Insurance Department wrote all tenants on the first phase property on 28th December, 1973 advising them of the then tentative timetable and advising them that a further notice to vacate would be mailed to them as soon as the timetable was confirmed.

Arrangements are currently being made by the Engineering Department to commence dredging and preparation of the shoreline for the Heather Street civic marina. In this regard notice has already been sent to Johnston Terminals requiring them to vacate about 4 acres for this purpose.

We have now determined in consultation with the Engineering Department that vacant possession will be required effective 1st July, 1974. This will permit the completion of several site preparation activities required to meet the proposed construction schedule. These activities include the installation of trunk and feeder sewers, preparation of crossings and road access, the preparation of grades for municipal services and the demolition of buildings and foundations.

One of the leases has major complications that one being the lease of 20+ acres of City land to Johnston Terminals.

Johnston Terminals

Johnston Terminals is a large consolidator and distributor of freight. The facilities in False Creek are located on 16.5 acres owned by Johnston Terminals in Area 6 between approximately Ash Street and the Cambie Bridge and 20+ acres leased from the City next west, and 15 acres leased from Marathon on the north side of the Creek. The facilities in Area 6 handle two million tons annually, the inbound and outbound service involving some 970 barges and 4,800 railcar movements per year plus coastal shipments and trucks, all employing approximately 400 persons.

In recognizing the importance of the distribution function to the downtown area, Council adopted on 20th November, 1973 the following policy regarding wholesale distribution facilities:

"Wholesale and distribution facilities which need to be adjacent to downtown should be principally east of Cambie Street, preferably east of Main Street and should preferably not be on the waterfront."

Studies that the Development Group and others have made of the Johnston Terminals operation show that their service is important to the downtown area and that a location in the False Creek area is justified.

The 20+ acres, leased from the City since 1970 on a month-to-month basis, are used primarily for outdoor storage. The 15 acres leased from Marathon until 1975 are used in connection with household goods, and consolidation for Vancouver Island.

Representatives of Johnston Terminals have expressed extreme concern over the prospect of termination of both leases.

The Development Group has examined with Johnston Terminals other locations in the False Creek area conforming to this above stated Council policy but none are now available which are economic and acceptable.

cont'd....

Regular Council, February 19, 1974 . . . . . 20

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT & OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Report of Standing Committee on  
Civic Development, February 5 (cont'd)

Johnston Terminals have proposed the possibility of moving some portions of the Johnston Terminal's operation out of the False Creek area and rearranging the balance so as to be able to operate out of the 16 acre site which they own plus property to the south owned by the City and the C.P.R. plus three or four acres of the City's Cambie Yard property to the east plus a small portion of the City owned land to the west.

With respect to Cambie Yard, the Engineering Department has examined this carefully with the Development Group, and the conclusion is that the entire site, except possibly a small area near the centre, is required to accommodate the sand, gravel, maintenance of equipment operations, and the parking of vehicles tied to those operations, all of which are related to the Inner City area.

With respect to additional property to the west, the Development Group believe that given the limited area surrounding the marina, and after providing for noise and landscaping treatment, that all the land to the west of Johnston Terminals will be required. However, the lands leased adjacent to the present dock facilities could continue to be leased for a further period. The lands are critical to the Company's operation and amount to approximately  $\frac{1}{2}$  acre.

With respect to the property to the south of the Johnston's site we do not anticipate difficulty in assembling this property as the City leases are month-to-month.

The City does however, control other property in the False Creek Basin which might be suitable for accommodating some of the Johnston Terminals vehicles now parked at the Company's site. There are approximately three acres west of Main Street and south of Terminal Avenue which we believe could be made available for this purpose. There is also adjoining property owned by Ocean Cement which could possibly be leased for these purposes. This would not be as convenient for Johnston Terminals since their vehicles are directly tied to the operations on the site, but it is a possibility to be explored.

Finally, when Johnston Terminals are considering any permanent re-development of their site, the recent Council decision on the Cambie Street replacement location be noted in their design plans; this being a replacement right-of-way of 100' to the west of the present alignment. "

The Committee discussed the report in detail with the Development Consultant and other officials present.

RECOMMENDED

1. THAT the Supervisor of Property and Insurance be instructed to write all tenants on the first phase property with the exception of Johnston Terminals advising them that leases will be terminated at the end of June with vacant possession to be delivered to the City effective 1st July, 1974;

FURTHER THAT the Supervisor of Property and Insurance take into consideration, when writing to these tenants, the Engineering Department's deadline date for land requirements for sewer work at the foot of Oak Street and vary the possession date accordingly.

cont'd....

Regular Council, February 19, 1974 . . . . . 21

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT & OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Report of Standing Committee on  
Civic Development, February 5 (cont'd)

2. THAT, with respect to Johnston Terminals,

- (a) the Supervisor of Property and Insurance send a notice to Johnston Terminals advising them that the City wishes to obtain as much as possible and preferably all of the City land now leased to Johnston Terminals with vacant possession 1st July, 1974, and, that discussions will be continuing with them regarding their possible utilization of other properties in the False Creek Basin;
- (b) the Director of Planning report to Council on the basin wide planning implications of permitting Johnston Terminals to redevelop their 16 acre site utilizing additional property to the south and north;
- (c) the Supervisor of Property and Insurance, together with the Development Group, be authorized to continue negotiations with Johnston Terminals along the above lines, with report back to Council.

There being no quorum present, the above is submitted for Council's CONSIDERATION.

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT the recommendations submitted by Aldermen Hardwick and Massey, set out in the foregoing report, be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,

SECONDED by Ald. Linnell,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

BY-LAWS

1. BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW No. 3575 BEING THE  
ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT BY-LAW (Kitsilano Area)

MOVED by Ald. Gibson,

SECONDED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT the By-law be introduced and read a first time.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The By-law was read a first time and the Presiding Officer declared the By-law open for discussion and amendments.

There being no amendments, it was

MOVED by Ald. Gibson,

SECONDED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT the By-law be given second and third readings and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign and seal the By-law.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(The By-law was deemed read accordingly)

BY-LAWS (cont'd)

2. BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW No. 2194  
BEING THE FIRE BY-LAW

MOVED by Ald. Harcourt,  
SECONDED by Ald. Hardwick,  
THAT the By-law be introduced and read a first time.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The By-law was read a first time and the Presiding Officer declared the By-law open for discussion and amendments.

There being no amendments, it was

MOVED by Ald. Harcourt,  
SECONDED by Ald. Hardwick,  
THAT the By-law be given second and third readings and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign and seal the By-law.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(The By-law was deemed read accordingly)

MOTIONS

1. Allocation of Lands for Road Purposes  
(4851 Knight Street)

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,  
SECONDED by Ald. Volrich,

THAT WHEREAS the registered owners have conveyed to the City of Vancouver, for road purposes, the following described lands:

- 1) East 7 feet of Lots 20 and 21, except the West 10 feet of each of said Lots now lane, Block 4 of Block 5, District Lots 391 and 392.

AND WHEREAS it is deemed expedient and in the public interest to accept and allocate the said lands for road purposes.

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED that the above described lands so conveyed be, and the same are hereby accepted and allocated for road purposes, and declared to form and constitute portions of road.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

2. Closing and Stopping up: Lane East  
of Cambie Street, South of Georgia Street  
(Sandman Inn Vancouver Ltd.)

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,  
SECONDED by Ald. Volrich,

THAT WHEREAS:

- 1. The City of Vancouver is the owner of all the streets and lanes lying within the limits of the City of Vancouver;
- 2. The lane at the rear of Lots One (1) to Three (3), Block Fifty-Eight (58), District Lot Five Hundred and Forty-One (541), Plan 210, is surplus to the City's highway requirements;
- 3. The City has conveyed their abutting lands to the owner of abutting Lot 38;
- 4. The City has agreed to convey the said lane abutting lots 1 to 3 to the owner of said Lot 38;

Regular Council, February 19, 1974 . . . . . 23

MOTIONS (cont'd)

Closing and Stopping up:  
Lane East of Cambie Street, South of  
Georgia Street (cont'd)

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT all that portion of lane lying between the easterly productions of the northerly limit of Lot 1 and the southerly limit of Lot 3, all of Block 58, District Lot 541, Plan 210. The same as shown outlined red on plan prepared by A. Burhoe, B.C.L.S., dated October 26th, 1973, and marginally numbered LF 6751, a print of which is attached hereto, be closed, stopped up and conveyed to the owner of abutting Lot 38, said Block 58;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the said closed lane be subdivided with the abutting lands.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

3. Parking Problem:  
Area Surrounding Pacific National Exhibition

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,  
SECONDED by Ald. Linnell,

THAT WHEREAS there is a severe parking problem in the area surrounding the Pacific National Exhibition;

AND WHEREAS Council has had the problem of numerous delegations to City Hall in respect of this issue;

AND WHEREAS the solution can only be accomplished by major renovations to the PNE parking set up;

AND WHEREAS the problem is created by the PNE as a traffic generator;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council instruct the PNE Board to prepare and design a traffic plan which will resolve this problem within a two-year period on the following basis:

6 months for design work and 18 months  
to construct and develop such a plan.

(Notice)

Notice was called and recognized by the Chair.

ENQUIRIES AND OTHER MATTERS

Alderman Linnell -  
Development: Cassiar and  
Highway 401 Area

enquired regarding the Government's  
and City's plans for the Cassiar  
Street and Highway 401 area.

Alderman Harcourt referred to a letter received from the Minister of Highways dated February 11, in which the Minister confirmed that it was not the intention to construct a freeway extension along Cassiar Street to the Second Narrows bridge. Alderman Harcourt indicated that the Area Planning Committee can now proceed with planning this particular area.

cont'd....

ENQUIRIES AND OTHER MATTERS (cont'd)

Development: Cassiar and Highway 401 area (cont'd)

MOVED by Ald. Harcourt,  
SECONDED by Ald. Linnell,  
THAT, in view of the letter received from the Minister of Highways, the Board of Administration prepare, as soon as possible, a report on the traffic problems in the area and alternative solutions, in cooperation with the Provincial Government.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED by Ald. Linnell, in amendment,  
THAT the Board of Administration be instructed to submit the report in one months time.

- LOST

(Aldermen Bowers, Gibson, Harcourt, Hardwick, Massey, Rankin, Volrich and the Mayor voted against the amendment)

The motion of Alderman Harcourt and Alderman Linnell was put and carried unanimously.

<u>Alderman Volrich - Kerr Street Dump Area Motorcyclists</u>	referred to numerous complaints being received regarding noise generated by motorcyclists using the Kerr Street Dump area.
	The Mayor reminded the Council that the Superintendent of Parks was endeavouring to obtain an alternate site for use by the motorcyclists and advised he will follow up further on this matter with the Superintendent of Parks.

---

The Council recessed at approximately 6:10 p.m., to reconvene in open session at 7:30 p.m.

The Council reconvened at approximately 7:30 p.m., Mayor Phillips in the Chair and the following members present:

PRESENT: Mayor Phillips  
Aldermen Bowers, Gibson, Harcourt,  
Hardwick, Linnell, Marzari, Massey  
Rankin and Volrich

ABSENT: Alderman Pendakur (Leave of Absence)

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Orpheum Theatre

The Council considered the Special Committee's report submitted under date of February 18, 1974, by Alderman Bowers on behalf of the Special Committee comprising Aldermen Bowers, Gibson and Massey, which reviews the matter under the following headings:

cont'd....



Regular Council, February 19, 1974 . . . . .25

## BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT &amp; OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Orpheum Theatre (cont'd)

Task of the Committee  
The Need for a Second Auditorium in Vancouver  
Suitability of the Orpheum as a Second Theatre  
Desire of Vancouverites for Retention of the  
Orpheum  
Proposed Operation of Orpheum as Civic Theatre  
Costs of Restoration  
Financing the Capital Costs of Acquisition

The following recommendations are extracted from the Committee's report:

" A. That Council exercise the option granted to it by Famous Players to purchase the Orpheum Theatre through payments as follows:

In July, 1974	1.0 million
In July, 1975	1.5 million
In Jan., 1976	1.4 million

and that the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign a contract with Famous Players to implement this purchase, such contract to be to the satisfaction of Corporation Counsel;

B. That the sum of \$900,000 be placed in the 1974 Supplemental Capital Budget towards the cost of purchase;

C. That the following funds be designated as back-up for the remaining \$3,000,000:-

1973 Operating Budget Surplus	\$2,000,000
Surplus Property Sales Reserve	1,000,000

such funds to be re-imbursed by donations, grants from Senior Governments, and future Supplemental Capital budgets."

Mr. L.C. Jolivet, Chairman of the Fund Raising Committee in respect of the Orpheum Theatre, spoke to Council advising of the various means to be employed to raise funds from the private sector.

Alderman Bowers spoke to the Special Committee's report in explanation and expressed regret that, at this point in time, he was unable to advise Council of firm commitments from the Senior Governments.

Delegations in respect of the City acquiring the Orpheum Theatre were received as follows:

Community Arts Council of Vancouver  
(brief filed dated February 19, 1974)  
U.B.C. Musical Undergraduate Society  
Vancouver Historical Society  
Canadian Folk Society  
Vancouver Symphony Society  
Vancouver East Cultural Centre  
Vancouver Board of Trade  
Kitsilano Area Resources Association  
(referred to a petition signed by approximately  
one thousand persons)  
Vancouver Philharmonic Orchestra  
A student from the U.B.C. School of Architecture  
(referred to a supporting petition obtained)

The result of a survey conducted by Ms. M. Sanford and Mr. R. Leong, under the guidance of the Instructor, Marketing Department of the B.C. Institute of Technology, was filed in a report dated February, 1974. The conclusion is made that the people support the City's Orpheum Theatre proposal.

cont'd...

Regular Council, February 19, 1974 . . . . . 26

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT & OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Orpheum Theatre (cont'd)

MOVED by Ald. Bowers,  
SECONDED by Ald. Massey,

THAT the Special Committee's recommendations A, B and C, as set out above in the report dated February 18, 1974, be approved;

FURTHER THAT the Council pursue its request to the Federal and Provincial Governments for funds:

(a) to replace the backup funds which are urgently required for other purposes including the City's land banking program,

(b) to finance the cost of the restoration of the Orpheum Theatre

(tabled)

MOVED by Ald. Volrich,  
SECONDED by Ald. Harcourt,

THAT,

(a) the motion of Alderman Bowers be tabled for the time being;

(b) a request be made to the owners of the Orpheum Theatre for an extension of the present option to March 20, 1974, at no cost to the City;

(c) in the meantime, the Council's Special Committee and the Mayor be requested to pursue vigorously efforts to obtain firm commitments from the Senior Governments.

CARRIED

It was agreed that parts (a), (b) and (c) of the motion be dealt with separately. The record, therefore, is as follows:

Part (a) - re tabling - CARRIED  
(Aldermen Bowers and Massey voted in the negative)

Part (b) - re extention of option - CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Part (c) - re continued efforts to obtain Senior Government funds - CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,  
SECONDED by Ald. Marzari,

THAT the City of Vancouver seek legislation from the Provincial Government declaring the Orpheum Theatre building an historic site;

FURTHER THAT the said legislation be framed to prevent the remodelling of either the interior or the exterior of the building without permission of the City Council.

- LOST

(Aldermen Bowers, Gibson, Hardwick, Massey, Volrich and the Mayor voted against the motion)

---

The Council adjourned at approximately 9:10 p.m.

\*\*\*

The foregoing are Minutes of the Regular Council meeting of  
February 19, 1974, adopted by Council on February 26, 1974.

*M. Phillips*  
MAYOR

*J. N. Little*  
CITY CLERK

Board of Administration, February 15, 1974 . . . . . (WORKS - 1)

WORKS & UTILITY MATTERS

RECOMMENDATION

1. Flat Rates for Property Owners' Share of Completed Local Improvement Projects

The City Engineer reports as follows:

"Clause 51B of the Local Improvement Procedure By-Law provides that, by resolution, Council may establish a flat rate per foot frontage with respect to any two or more projects of a like nature, based on the average cost per foot frontage of such projects as estimated by Council.

The flat rates shown in Schedule 'A' attached are derived from the contract costs and the costs of work done by City forces, together with an allowance for interest over the period of construction and other general charges. These rates, for the property owners' share of projects which were completed during 1973, have been developed in accordance with the provisions of the Vancouver Charter and the Local Improvement Procedure By-Law.

RECOMMENDED that the table of flat rates shown in Schedule 'A' attached be approved."

Your Board RECOMMENDS the above-noted report of the City Engineer be adopted.

2. T.V. Inspection of Sewers

The City Engineer reports as follows:

"In order to check the condition of old sewers which are in streets to be paved in the 1974 Paving Program, it is necessary to carry out a television inspection program of these sewers.

The estimated cost of this program is \$28,000.

I RECOMMEND that the sum of \$28,000 be appropriated from Sewers Capital Account 118/7903 'Prior to Paving - Unallocated' so that this work may proceed."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing be approved.

3. Delta Landfill:  
Supply of Cover Material

The City Engineer reports as follows:

"Approximately 50,000 cubic yards of sand are required annually as cover material for the City's sanitary landfill in Delta. Since the landfill operation commenced in 1965, this sand has been purchased from stockpiles of dredged sand located along the Fraser River, and trucked to the site.

However, buying this cover material from sources along the river has various disadvantages. There is no guarantee that stockpiled supplies within a reasonable hauling distance of the landfill site will always be available, and the price of sand and of trucking are expected to increase substantially over the life of the landfill operation. Holiday shutdowns at stockpiles along the river (such as a two-week shutdown last Christmas) and road restrictions placed by the Municipality of Delta, which reduce the size of the loads that can be hauled during the spring break-up, create undesirable interruptions in our present supply.

cont'd.....

Clause #3 continued:

An alternative source of cover material exists within the landfill site itself. An unlimited supply of sand, similar to river sand, underlays the surface layer of peat, silt, and clay at a depth of approximately 15 to 18 ft. A number of experimental attempts have been made to recover this underlying sand by dredging, draglining, etc., but none has so far proven economically feasible. In April, 1973, tenders were called for proposals to recover and stockpile a ten-year supply of this sand. Neither of the two bids received was accepted, primarily because the costs would have been appreciably higher than the cost of trucking from an external source.

A proposal has now been received from a contractor, Mr. M. Poschner, to excavate on the site and deliver to the landfill the City's requirements of 200 cubic yards of sand and 200 cubic yards of peat, silt and clay (used as a surface dressing over completed portions of the landfill) each working day. This contractor has recently completed the excavation of perimeter drainage ditches around the landfill, and while on the site, undertook a brief experiment in the recovery of sand. Based on the success of this experiment, Mr. Poschner is prepared to enter into an equipment rental agreement with the City at a fixed daily rental rate for a specified time period.

In view of the advantages of recovering sand from the landfill site as opposed to hauling from external sources, and because of the apparent success of Mr. Poschner's experiment, the City Engineer feels his proposal merits serious consideration. Mr. Poschner quotes a daily rental rate of \$600 to supply our daily requirements of sand and peat/clay. On this basis, the peat/clay would be approximately the same cost as at present, whereas the sand would cost \$1.70/yd<sup>3</sup>, as compared with our present cost of \$2.00 yd<sup>3</sup>. This represents an annual saving of approximately \$15,000 for sand, which presently costs \$100,000 per year.

The competence demonstrated by Mr. Poschner in his previous excavation work at the landfill site, together with his experience with the soil conditions there, make him uniquely qualified for this work.

Mr. Poschner's proposal is based on using a larger piece of equipment than was employed in his experiment, and in order to justify its acquisition, he would like to enter into a three-year agreement with the City. He indicates he would also consider a one-year agreement, although a shorter agreement would not give him the flexibility to invest money to modify and improve his recovery procedure, should this appear desirable economically. Provisions would be included for the City to terminate the agreement without notice for failure to perform by the contractor.

Although the Department has authority to hire rented equipment on a daily basis, Council's approval is requested in this case because the daily rental would be tied to a one or three-year agreement at a cost of approximately \$165,000 per year. Funds for the supply of cover material are provided each year in the Sanitation Budget.

Accordingly, it is RECOMMENDED that the City Engineer be authorized to enter into an agreement with Mr. Poschner for the recovery of cover material for the Delta Landfill at a rental rate of \$600 per day for a period up to three years."

Your Board RECOMMENDS the above report of the City Engineer be approved.

Board of Administration, February 15, 1974 . . . . . (BUILDING - 1)

BUILDING AND PLANNING MATTERS

A-4

RECOMMENDATION

1. Building Bylaw - Board of Appeal

On October 2, 1973 the Council passed the following resolution:

"THAT Council approve in principle the provision of a Building Bylaw Appeal Board as visualized in the National Building Code and request the Board of Administration to investigate the possibilities of utilizing the Provincial Appeal Board or of setting up a local Appeal Board."

The City Building Inspector and the Corporation Counsel report as follows:-

"The Vancouver Charter allows the adoption of any or all parts of the National Building Code. Part I (Administration) of the Code, which contains provisions for a Board of Appeal, was not adopted by the City. It would be possible, however, to take the provision in the National Building Code for formation of a Board of Appeal (Section 1.15) and modify it, if required, to make it appropriate for use by Vancouver.

In the letter from the President of the Architectural Institute it is stated that Calgary, Edmonton, Winnipeg, Regina and Saskatoon have Boards of Appeal from the Building Bylaw. A letter was sent to these cities asking for details on such matters as make-up of the Board, terms of reference and approximate numbers of appeals heard.

The officials of Regina and Saskatoon advise that they do not have Boards of Appeal from the Building Bylaw. The officials of the other cities named advise that they have Boards of Appeal but their powers are limited to approval of new methods, materials and procedures, interpretations and consideration of what to allow in the case of proposed work on existing buildings which do not conform to the Bylaw. They all say these Boards do not allow variations from any specific requirement in the Building Bylaw. Copies of these letters are on file with the City Clerk. A tabulation of the information received is attached as Appendix A.

The Department of Municipal Affairs, in a reply to an enquiry as to whether the City could use the Provincial Building Code Appeal Board, implies it is possible but asks for further information. There has been a further memorandum from the Deputy Minister to all municipalities in B.C. outlining how the Building Code Appeal Board may be used. It is noted in this memorandum that the "Board shall determine any question or dispute respecting the interpretation of or the application of the codes referred to in Section 719B (of the Municipal Act). This does not include any discretionary power nor any authority to grant exemption from the code." A copy of this memorandum is attached.

It is believed that the nature of a Building Bylaw, which is a set of minimum regulations with respect to structural and fire safety, is such that any relaxation of specific requirements could be dangerous. In fact, in a preliminary

cont'd .....

Board of Administration, February 15, 1974 . . . . (BUILDING - 2)

Clause No.1 continued

draft of a revised Part I for the 1975 edition of the National Building Code, the powers of the Board are confined to consideration of alternate materials and equipment and alternate methods of design and construction.

In the light of the foregoing, it seems appropriate that the City should have a Board of Appeal to deal with new materials, methods and procedures. It is proposed further that this Board be the one established under Section 719B of the Municipal Act. Obviously the City must obtain the approval of the Minister of Municipal Affairs.

It is RECOMMENDED:

- (1) THAT the City should have a Board of Appeal to deal with new methods, materials and procedures.
- (2) THAT subject to the approval of the Minister of Municipal Affairs, the Board be the one established by the Provincial Government under the authority of Section 719B of the Municipal Act."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the recommendations of the Corporation Counsel and City Building Inspector be approved.

2. Building Permit Application for Alterations to Existing Duplex Dwelling at 3541 Point Grey Road

The Director of Planning reports as follows:

"City Council on February 6th, 1973, "IN CAMERA" resolved in part, that the City do not proceed to expropriate certain properties in Areas of Priority 1A and 2 and that the residue of the Point Grey Road Purchase Fund be applied as properties are offered for sale."

On July 31, 1973, City Council resolved, in part, that the present policy in respect of reporting to Council on Development Permits regarding Point Grey Road, be continued.

A Building Permit Application dated January 25, 1974, has been received for Alterations to the existing duplex dwelling at 3541 Point Grey Road. The property is within Area of Priority No. 6 as established by Council on July 15th, 1969. There is an Appeal to the Board of Variance pending regarding minor additions to this property which has yet to be resolved.

It is RECOMMENDED, unless Council wish otherwise, that the building permit for the interior alterations should be issued in the normal way, and should the appeal for the additions be allowed, it is further recommended that the Development Permit be issued for such additions.

Your Board RECOMMENDS approval of the recommendation of the Director of Planning.

cont'd .....

Board of Administration, February 15, 1974 . . . . (BUILDING - 3)

### CONSIDERATION

3. Strata Title Application - New Construction  
2777 Oak Street - 30 Dwelling Units  
Lot "A" Block 395, D.L. 526 (Plan 14828)  
Glenfield Holdings Ltd., 1941 West 4th Avenue  
Vancouver, B.C.

The Director of Planning and the Director of Finance report as follows:

"In accordance with the City's current regulations governing the approval of Strata Title applications for new buildings, an application has been received from Glenfield Holdings Ltd., for approval of a Strata Plan for a new 3-storey wood-frame apartment building containing 30 dwelling units at 2777 Oak Street.

The applicants have submitted a prospectus which provides the following information under the appropriate sections of the regulations.

N.B: See Appendix 'A' for site plan.

#### Section 1 (2) - Financing

The Director of Finance has received the prospectus with regard to the financing information and finds the following:

##### Subsection (a) The true interest on any financing

The prospectus states that the developers expect to have some first mortgage financing available at current rates but also state that any purchaser would be free to secure his own first mortgage. They further state that second mortgage financing up to \$5,000 would be available under B.C. Government home owner assistance plan to those who qualify. The prospectus indicates that current mortgage rates range between 10 and 10½%.

##### (b) Any bonusing of financing

The prospectus states that there will be no bonusing of mortgage financing. This presumably refers to the financing the developers may arrange. The prospectus does state that appraisal fees, legal fees or mortgage insurance fees may be payable by purchasers in certain individual cases to those institutions providing financing.

##### (c) Details of a management contract and monthly servicing

The prospectus states that the developers will not enter into a management contract with anybody but will wait until the Strata Corporation is formed and the Council elected, in order that the Council may make that decision. In the interim period the developer is responsible for operating the property in an acceptable manner. The developers have estimated monthly servicing costs to range from \$29.01 to \$39.14 for one bedroom units, and \$39.60 to \$47.43 for two bedroom units.

##### (d) Taxes and all other costs

The developers have estimated gross taxes on one bedroom units to range from \$240.10 to \$391.40, and on the two bedroom units from \$396 to \$474.30. They also point out that the Provincial Home Owner Grant, currently at \$200, will reduce the gross taxes by \$200 in those cases where the owner occupies the suite, but if he rents it out to someone else he would not be eligible.

cont'd .....



Board of Administration, February 15, 1974 . . . . . (BUILDING - 4)

Clause No. 3 continued

Subsection (d) Taxes and all other costs (cont'd)

The only other costs indicated by the developers is for cablevision service to those units desiring it. The prospectus points out that private laundry facilities are provided in each unit so there will be no extra charge for this service.

(e) Shared facilities & common areas

The Director of Planning has examined the prospectus and plans and finds the following:

All areas not included in the 30 Strata Lots are common areas, which include all balconies, hallways, stairways, elevator, lobby and the entire basement floor, including the parking area.

37 off-street parking spaces are provided in the basement, which is common property, and one parking space will be permanently allocated to each of the 30 Strata Lots at no extra charge.

Balconies and patios are for the exclusive use of each unit, but are designated as common property, in order that the Strata Corporation may have control over the use of them.

All grounds and landscaped areas outside the building are common property.

Section 1(3) - Quality of Construction

The City Building Inspector advises that he has received a letter from the office of J.V. Bergins, Architect, in which he states that the quality of construction and finishes of this project, compares favourably with the quality control requirements of Central Mortgage & Housing Corporation, as contained in the Canadian Code for Residential Construction."

Your Board submits the foregoing report for the CONSIDERATION of Council.

4. Strata Title Application - New Construction  
#680 East 5th Avenue - Lot "B", Block 86, D.L.264A  
32 Dwelling Units - Farmers & Merchants Trust Co. Ltd.

The Director of Planning and the Director of Finance report as follows:

"In accordance with the City's current regulations governing the approval of Strata Title applications for new buildings, an application has been received from the FARMERS & MERCHANTS TRUST CO LTD. for approval of a Strata Plan for a new 4 storey with basement, wood frame apartment building, containing 32 dwelling units at 680 East 5th Avenue.

The applicants have submitted a prospectus which provides the following information under the appropriate sections of the regulations:

N.B: See Appendix "A" for site plan.

Section 1(2) - Financing

Subsection (a) - true interest on any financing.

Subsection (b) - any bonusing of financing.

Subsection (c) - details of a management contract and monthly servicing.

Subsection (d) - taxes and all other costs.

The Director of Finance has reviewed the prospectus with regard to the above-noted section of the regulations and finds the following:

cont'd .....

Clause No. 4 continued

Financing:

A. Owner and Developer

The owner and developer is Farmers & Merchants Trust Co. Ltd.

B. Terms of Sale

Strata Lots will be sold as completed units for cash on terms to be arranged.

C. Purchasers' Financing

First mortgage financing will be available from Farmers & Merchants Trust Co. Ltd., mortgage division, to purchasers of any strata lot on the following basis:

- (a) The interest rate will be calculated semi-annually not in advance and will be equal to conventional mortgage rates prevailing at the time of sale.
- (b) Mortgages shall be for a term of 5 years from the date of advance, and payments will be based on a 25 or 30-year amortization.
- (c) The maximum amount of a First Mortgage will be 75% of the lesser of the sale price or projected sale price of each Strata Title or 90% if the purchaser so requests, under Mortgage Insurance Corporation of Canada terms, in which case there will be an insurance charge equal to 1% or 1¼% of the gross loan.
- (d) The minimum First Mortgage acceptable to the Mortgagee will be \$5,000.00.
- (e) The Mortgagee has the right to reject applications for mortgages for persons who do not meet normal standards as to credit and income for First Mortgage loans.
- (f) The purchaser must pay at least 10% of the purchase price and may provide the balance from a Province of British Columbia Second Mortgage if qualified.
- (g) The purchasers will pay the mortgage application fee of \$50.00 and legal costs.
- (h) Purchasers are not required to accept the financing and may make their own arrangements or pay cash.
- (i) There will be no bonuses paid by Purchasers on financing.
- (j) The Developers have made no arrangements for secondary financing and will not carry second mortgages. Secondary financing may be available through B.C. Government Second Mortgages, but it is the responsibility of the purchaser to obtain such a Mortgage.

D. Management Contract

A Management Contract will be entered into, either with the Developer, Farmers & Merchants Trust Co. Ltd., 564 Howe Street, Vancouver, B.C., telephone no. 681-7212, or with a competent professional management firm to administer the day to day servicing needs of the building and to assist at Strata Council meetings and in preparation of budgets and to advise the Council. A copy of the Management Contract will be available for inspection at the premises of the Developer.

Board of Administration, February 15, 1974 . . . . (BUILDING - 6)

Clause No. 4 continued

A budget of estimated servicing costs has been prepared and is attached as Schedule A hereto.

The maintenance of common areas and grounds are included, but individual owners are responsible for the cleaning and maintenance of their individual suites.

E. Taxes and All Other Costs

It is estimated that gross taxes, before home-owners grants, will be \$340 for one bedroom suites and \$435 for two bed-room suites calculated on present estimated values and mill rates but the developers cannot warrant the accuracy of these estimates. Each Strata Lot will be assessed and taxed separately including the unit entitlement to the property.'

Schedule "A" attached to the prospectus, details the estimated maintenance costs and management fees for 1974, which estimate shows that the expected charge per suite, per month, is \$32.25, of which the management fee is \$7.50.

Subsection (e) - shared facilities & common areas

The Director of Planning has examined the prospectus and plans and finds the following:

A. Parking

Forty parking stalls are provided, all underground. Each Strata Lot will have one designated parking stall. All parking stalls are part of the common property.

B. Storage Lockers

Each Strata Lot has one designated locker storage facility, approximately five feet by six feet and eight feet high. All lockers are part of the common property.

C. Laundry Facilities

One laundry room containing coin operated washer and dryer facilities is available for all owners on the main floor and is part of the common property.

D. Other Common Property

All property of the Strata Plan will be common property except thirty-two strata lots to be owned by individuals owners. The common property will include parking, lockers, and laundry facilities described above, passageways, service areas and grounds. The owner, developer and builder after selling the thirty-two Strata Lots will own no part of the development.

E. Balconies & Patios

The submitted Strata Plans indicate that all Strata Lots include the abutting patio or balcony area.

Section 1(3) - Quality of Construction

The City Building Inspector advises that he has received a letter from Daine M. Sarter, architect, in which he states that the building compares favourably with the quality control standards set by the Central Mortgage & Housing Corporation, as contained in the Canadian Code for Residential Construction."

Your Board submits the foregoing report for the CONSIDERATION of Council.

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S) 275

FIRE AND TRAFFIC MATTERS**A-6**RECOMMENDATION1. Conversion of Fire Department Radio System

The City Engineer reports as follows:

"The Fire Department radio communications system is comprised of two radio systems operating on separate frequencies, one frequency for the mobile radios in vehicles and in the fire boat, and one frequency for the portables carried by the fireman. The mobile radios can communicate only with the central station while the portables communicate only amongst themselves and are intended for use at the scene of a fire.

With the increase in size of buildings and reduced access, it is often necessary to fight a fire from two separated points. Reliable direct radio communication between vehicles or between a vehicle and the fireboat as well as communications with the central station, is necessary. The conversion of the present system to provide this additional capability was approved in 1971; however, it has been delayed due to the necessary planning and the time required to obtain approval for the new frequencies from the Department of Communications.

The 1971 Revenue Budget included \$14,000.00 for the conversion to the mobile radio system. The estimate of \$14,000.00 was based on the use of the existing Electrical Division frequency. (The Electrical Division was in turn to obtain a new channel within the group of Engineering Department channels). This proposal, however, proved not to be feasible and a new set of frequencies had to be selected for the Fire Department's use. The additional cost to purchase and install crystals for this new frequency was \$5,400.00 bringing the total cost of the Fire Department's conversion to \$19,400.00.

Of the original budget, \$5,000.00 was spent in 1971 for the main transmitters and only \$7,300.00 was carried forward. An additional \$7,100.00 is now required to complete the conversion bringing the total cost to \$19,400.00.

Earlier this year, a review was made by the Fire Chief and the Engineering Department of the uses of the proposed radio systems in the Fire Department operations. It was determined that a more efficient operation would result if the mobiles were equipped to operate on both frequencies. The portable frequency could then be used at a fire for deploying equipment and men without interfering with the main despatch fire frequency which may also be required to direct equipment to other fires. The estimated additional cost for adding the portable frequency to the mobiles is \$6,000.00.

The Comptroller of Accounts advises that if Council approves these recommendations \$7,100.00 could be provided from Communications Projects Unallocated Funds, and the balance of \$6,000.00 could be provided in advance of the 1974 Basic Capital Budget for Communications.

The City Engineer RECOMMENDS that:

- (a) an additional \$7,100.00 be provided from Account 238/7901 "Communications Projects Unallocated" to complete the conversion of Fire Department mobile radio system.
- (b) \$6,000.00 be provided in advance of the 1974 Basic Capital Budget for Communication to equip the mobile radios in the Fire trucks to operate on the portable frequency for local communications at the scene of fires."

Your Board RECOMMENDS the foregoing recommendation of the City Engineer be approved.

Board of Administration, February 15, 1974 . . . (FINANCE - 1)

FINANCE MATTERSRECOMMENDATION1. Investment Matters (Various Funds) December, 1973

- (a) Security Transactions during the month of December, 1973  
 (b) Summary of Securities held by the General and Capital Accounts

(a) GENERAL AND CAPITAL ACCOUNT TRANSACTIONS (PURCHASES)

Date	Type of Security	Maturity Date	Maturity Value	Cost	Term Days	Annual Yield %
<u>Chartered Bank Deposit Receipts and Government Notes</u>						
Dec. 3	Mercantile Bank of Canada	Jan. 15/74	\$ 500,000.00	\$ 494,604.35	43	9.26
3	Mercantile Bank of Canada	Dec. 17/73	750,000.00	747,342.75	14	9.27
3	Bank of Montreal	Jan. 21/74	1,012,444.66	1,000,000.00	49	9.27
3	Bank of Montreal	Feb. 4/74	2,286,505.48	2,250,000.00	63	9.40
3	Bank of Montreal	Feb. 15/74	1,019,158.90	1,000,000.00	74	9.45
6	Mercantile Bank of Canada	Dec. 10/73	1,001,041.10	1,000,000.00	4	9.50
10	Mercantile Bank of Canada	Dec. 14/73	985,335.83	984,324.00	4	9.38
11	Mercantile Bank of Canada	Jan. 2/74	988,131.19	982,523.00	22	9.47
11	Toronto Dominion Bank	Feb. 19/74	1,018,372.60	1,000,000.00	70	9.58
17	Toronto Dominion Bank	Jan. 2/74	4,018,007.67	4,000,000.00	16	10.27
17	Mercantile Bank of Canada	Dec. 27/73	752,034.25	750,000.00	10	9.90
19	Mercantile Bank of Canada	Feb. 28/74	2,038,904.11	2,000,000.00	71	10.00
21	Bank of Nova Scotia	Dec. 27/73	1,001,068.49	1,000,000.00	6	6.50
24	Bank of Montreal	Dec. 27/73	1,500,739.73	1,500,000.00	3	6.00
27	Mercantile Bank of Canada	Mar. 1/74	1,017,534.25	1,000,000.00	64	10.00
27	Bank of British Columbia	Jan. 16/74	2,010,980.82	2,000,000.00	20	10.02
28	Bank of Montreal	Mar. 1/74	1,017,346.58	1,000,000.00	63	10.05
28	Bank of Montreal	Jan. 2/74	498,173.48	497,509.00	5	9.75
			<u>\$23,415,779.14</u>	<u>\$23,206,303.10</u>		

\*\*\*\*\*

SALE OF BANK DISCOUNT NOTES BY DEBT CHARGES EQUALIZATION FUNDFUND TO GENERAL AND CAPITAL ACCOUNT

Date	Type of Security	Maturity Date	Maturity Value	Cost	Term Days	Annual Yield %
Dec. 17	Banque Canadienne Nationale*	June 3/74	\$3,800,000.00	\$3,667,162.33	168	7.87
17	Banque Canadienne Nationale*	June 17/74	550,000.00	528,927.25	182	7.99
			<u>\$4,350,000.00</u>	<u>\$4,196,089.58</u>		

\*Disposed of by Debt Charges Equalization Fund to provide funds to purchase direct issue serial debenture issued to buy part of Langara Golf Course, and acquired by General and Capital Account as a suitable investment.

\*\*\*\*\*

SALE OF BANK DEPOSIT SWAPS BY GENERAL AND CAPITAL ACCOUNT TO SINKING FUND

Date	Type of Security	Maturity Date	Maturity Value	Cost	Term Days	Annual Yield %
Dec. 31	Bank of Nova Scotia +	April 1/74	<u>\$1,156,372.74</u>	<u>\$1,100,000.00</u>	91	8.95

+Disposed of by General and Capital Account to settle in part amounts owed to Sinking Fund and give Sinking Fund an investment suitable for its investment requirements.

\*\*\*\*\*

SINKING FUND ACCOUNT TRANSACTIONS (PURCHASES)

Date	Type of Security	Maturity Date	Maturity Value	Cost	Term Days	Annual Yield %
<u>Chartered Bank Deposit Receipts and Government Notes</u>						
Dec. 31	Bank of Nova Scotia	April 1/74	<u>\$1,024,432.88</u>	<u>\$1,000,000.00</u>	91	9.80

\*\*\*\*\*

Continued .....

Board of Administration, February 15, 1974 . . . . . (FINANCE - 2)

Clause #1 continued:

DEBT CHARGES EQUALIZATION FUND TRANSACTIONS (PURCHASES)

Date	Type of Security	Maturity Date	Maturity Value	Price	Cost	Term Yrs/Mos	Annual Yield %
<u>Debentures</u>							
Dec. 17	City of Van 8½% #	Dec. 17/74-81	<u>\$4,443,829.00</u>	\$100.00	<u>\$4,443,829.00</u>	1/0-8/0	8.50

#Direct issue of serial debentures to Debt Charges Equalization Fund.

\*\*\*\*\*

CEMETERY PERPETUAL MAINTENANCE FUND TRANSACTIONS

Date	Type of Security	Maturity Date	Maturity Value	Price	Cost	Term Yrs/Mos	Annual Yield %
<u>Debentures</u>							
Dec. 21	City of Van 5½%	Nov. 15/79	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 84.20	\$ 1,684.00	5/11	9.00
21	School District #39 5%	Dec. 15/80	2,000.00	79.55	1,591.00	7/0	9.00
27	City of Van 5 3/4%	Oct. 15/77	<u>4,000.00</u>	89.72	<u>3,588.80</u>	3/10	9.00
			<u>\$ 8,000.00</u>		<u>\$ 6,863.80</u>		

\*\*\*\*\*

(b)

SUMMARY OF SECURITIES HELD AS AT DECEMBER 31, 1973

GENERAL AND CAPITAL ACCOUNTS ONLY

Type of Security	Par or Maturity Value	Cash or Book Value
<u>Short Term</u>		
Chartered Banks Deposit Receipts and Government Notes	\$36,681,064.64	\$35,802,264.28
<u>Medium Term</u>		
B.C. Hydro & Power Authority 7% Parity Bonds due Sept. 1/75	<u>\$ 398,000.00</u>	<u>\$ 401,525.59</u>

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the report of the Director of Finance on Investment Matters (Various Funds) for December, 1973 be approved.

2. Marine Insurance

The Supervisor of Property and Insurance reports as follows:-

"The City's annual Marine Insurance Policy insuring the City Police Boats, V.P.D. #98 and V.P.D. #99 and the Fire Boat, V.F.D. #2, expires on March 1st, 1974.

The insurance coverage includes hull, machinery and equipment, ship-to-ship collision and also contains a deductible clause in the amount of \$250.00 for each of the Police Boats and \$500.00 for the Fire Boat.

The present broker is Macaulay Nicolls Maitland & Co. Ltd. and the underwriter is Lloyds, London. The expiring 1973 policy premium was \$5,869.11.

Tenders for the renewal of this insurance were invited on the same terms and conditions as the expiring policy except for the deductible on the fireboat, which, as in past years, was called on the same basis as the two police boats, i.e., \$250.00. Two tenders were received and are tabulated as follows:-

cont'd.....

Board of Administration, February 15, 1974 . . . . . (FINANCE - 3)

Clause #2 continued:

- (1) Reed Shaw Stenhouse Limited  
 Underwriters: The Aetna Insurance Company  
                   The Central National Insurance Company  
                   Lloyds Underwriters  
 Quoted Annual Premium:     \$ 5,749.93
- (2) Macaulay Nicolls Maitland Insurance  
 Underwriters: London Underwriters at Lloyds  
 Quoted Annual Premium:     \$ 5,345.00

The quotation submitted by Macaulay Nicolls Maitland Insurance did not comply with the specifications of tender in that the deductible for the fireboat was \$500.00 and not \$250.00.

The firm of Reed Shaw Stenhouse Limited were able to produce a quotation in accordance with the required coverages.

It is, therefore,

RECOMMENDED that Tender (1) submitted by Reed Shaw Stenhouse Limited, on behalf of the Underwriters, The Aetna Insurance Company, The Central National Insurance Company and Lloyds Underwriters, be accepted and the firm of Reed Shaw Stenhouse Limited be appointed to act as the City's Marine Broker for the term of the policy."

Your Board

RECOMMENDS that the foregoing recommendation of the Supervisor of Property and Insurance be approved.

3. Tender No. 29-73-24:  
Electric Lamps, Starters and Ballasts

Tenders for the above were opened by your Board on November 26, 1973 and referred to the City Engineer and Purchasing Agent for report. The officials concerned report as follows:

"The working copy of the tabulation is on file in the Purchasing Agent's Office.

The tender call was in six Parts for a total of 138 items, and requested prices for one and two year periods. These prices are based on discounts from current published price lists. The discounts will remain constant for the term of the contract, but the price lists may change from time to time, and the one in effect at the time the goods are ordered will apply.

Fifteen firms submitted tenders. No firms submitted bids on all items as item 31 is a special lamp manufactured by one firm only. However, excluding item 31, two firms submitted tenders on the balance of the items listed in the six Parts for either a one or a two-year period, as the City desires. A two-year contract is desirable for the following reasons:

- (a) The City would have some assurance of obtaining items that are in short supply.
- (b) Costs of tendering each year will be eliminated.

cont'd....

Board of Administration, February 15, 1974 . . . (FINANCE - 4)

Clause #3 continued:

Van Horne Electric Ltd. submitted a tender offering Ballasts and Starters (Part 6) in the quantities shown on the tender form, free of charge, if they are given the contract in its entirety for the first year. Also, they will supply ballasts and starters in the same quantities at \$1.00 each in the second year if a two-year contract is issued. Item 31 would be excluded as they did not tender on this item.

On Item 31, only one bid was received to specification and we are recommending it be awarded to this bidder.

RECOMMENDATION

The City Engineer and Purchasing Agent recommend as follows:

PART I - Item 31: Verd-A-Ray Industries Ltd., a two-year contract at an estimated cost of \$615.78 per year, plus the 5% Provincial S.S. Tax.

BALANCE OF ALL ITEMS - Van Horne Electric Ltd., the overall low bidder, a two-year contract at an estimated cost of \$114,186.01 per year, plus the 5% Provincial S.S. Tax. The estimated cost for the 2nd year of the contract would be \$114,886.01 plus the 5% Provincial S.S. Tax."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the recommendation of the City Engineer and Purchasing Agent be approved, subject to a contract satisfactory to the Corporation Counsel.

CONSIDERATION

4. Request for Grant Equal to Taxes:  
Hospital Properties

The Director of Finance reports as follows:

"On September 11, 1973 a report was submitted by your officials in response to a request for a grant in lieu of taxes from the following two hospitals.

	1973 Levy	Local Improvements	Total
Holy Family Hospital	4,960.02	37.33	4,997.35
St. Vincent's Hospital	7,065.83	164.44	7,230.27
Total	12,025.85	201.77	12,227.62

The properties in question were not exempted from taxation as they were held for hospital expansion and the City Charter provided that an exemption is applicable when the properties are 'wholly in use'. The report further pointed out that Sec. 396 (c) (iii) was amended in the Spring Session of 1973 by the Provincial Government as follows: 'or which is held by the hospital for future use as a hospital site and the same has been designated by the Minister of Municipal Affairs to be exempt from taxation'.

It is noted that City Council in 1970, 1971 and 1972 did approve a grant after being advised that the hospitals do not receive funding for payment of taxes on property held for expansion.

City Council took 'NO ACTION' on the above report.

Subsequently, the hospitals in question applied to the Minister of Municipal Affairs for exemption and the City was advised by the Minister on December 13th, 1973 that he had granted exemption for the above property and that in his opinion it was effective for the 1973 taxation year.

The opinion of the City's Law Department is that there is no question as to the exemption for 1974. However, they are of the opinion that the exemption is not and cannot be effective for 1973.

cont'd...



Clause #4 continued:

It is noted that exemptions granted under Sec. 396 of the City Charter do not include exemption for local improvement taxes. City Council has been previously advised that local improvement taxes are specifically excluded from exemption by the City Charter, and therefore to be equitable any grants given in lieu of taxes should be exclusive of local improvement levies.

Therefore, it is recommended that if Council wishes to make a grant in lieu of taxes to the two organizations that they be in the following amounts (exclusive of local improvements):

- |                           |            |
|---------------------------|------------|
| 1. Holy Family Hospital   | \$4,960.02 |
| 2. St. Vincent's Hospital | 7,065.83." |

Your Board submits the foregoing report for the CONSIDERATION of Council.

INFORMATION5. 1973-1974 L.I.P. - Report No. 2

The City Engineer reports as follows:

" A total of 33 applications from City Departments were submitted to the Government of Canada under the Local Initiative Program. Of these eight have been approved and all but one (the wheelchair ramps to start mid-February) are currently in progress.

The following is a list of the projects approved and a general description of their substance:

X-1445/B.C. Photography- Library

- research on and preparation of slide kits of B. C. History to be made available to interested people. (schools, colleges, etc.)

X-1447/Wheelchair Ramps - Engineering

- construction of 200 ramps at selected intersections as an aid to facilitate travel by wheelchair.

X-1453/Art Vancouver - Social Planning

- promotion of B. C. art, provision of paintings, organization of art festivals, lectures and general information related to B. C. artists.

X-1455/City Information Works - Social Planning

- publication of civic newsletter; public information consulting service; community information service.

X-1460/Lot Cleanup - Finance

- cleanup of 250 City-owned vacant lots.

X-1464/Old Buildings - Planning

- identification of buildings having historical or other significance.

X-1465/Retarded - Handicapped - Park Board

- recreation program for handicapped children and young adults.

X-1474/The Handicapped Involved - Park Board

- involving homebound and handicapped adults in community recreational activities and provision for their transportation.

The total value of the foregoing projects is approximately \$254,000.00 of which the City is contributing 22%, or \$56,000.00; a total of 60 people are employed. All of these eight projects were approved by Council on 30 October 1973 as "Priority 1" (requiring no further Council approval)."

Your Board submits the matter to Council for INFORMATION.

6. Tender Awards

The Purchasing Agent reports as follows:

"In accordance with Council policy, contracts for the following supplies were awarded by the Board of Administration/Purchasing Agent:

- Fine Paper
- 1974 Envelope Requirements
- Laundry Service
- Two-Way FM Hand Held Solid State Portable Radios & Chargers
- Traffic Counting Equipment
- Electronic Sirens - P.A. Systems (Police Cars)
- Copper Water Tube for 24-month Period
- Theatre Seats for Queen Elizabeth Theatre
- Passenger Cars

Copies of the details of these tender awards are attached.

Your Board submits the foregoing report for Council's INFORMATION.

CONSIDERATION

7. Theatre Rental: CKNW Orphans Fund

The City Clerk reports as follows:

"A letter from the CKNW Orphans Fund dated February 12, 1974 has been received in this office. They state they are presenting the Rolf Harris Show at the Queen Elizabeth Theatre on February 22, 1974 as a fund-raising project. They are requesting that consideration of the non-commercial rate be made (the commercial rate charged is \$1,200.00 -- the non-commercial rate is \$800.00).

The CKNW Orphans Fund, which is under a different administration than CKNW, is an absolute non-profit organization that helps 2500 underprivileged children every year in Vancouver and the Lower Mainland. These children belong to such institutions as Lower Fraser Valley Cerebral Palsy Association, St. Christophers School for Retarded Boys, Woodlands for the Retarded, Jericho Hill, Loyal Protestant Home for Children, etc. They raise close to \$100,000 every year and all time spent on the various campaigns is strictly volunteer time.

Council has dealt with similar requests in the past as follows:

July 31/73	National Youth Orchestra Assoc. of Canada	Rental of Queen Elizabeth Theatre	approved
July 31/73	First Aid Ski Patrol	Rental of Queen Elizabeth Theatre	approved
		raise funds for Patrol's operation	½ of rental of Q.E.T."

Your Board submits the foregoing report of the City Clerk for the CONSIDERATION of Council.

Board of Administration, February 15, 1974 . . . (PROPERTIES - 1)

PROPERTY MATTERS

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Acquisition for Park Site  
No. 7 - 1139 Pendrell Street

The Supervisor of Property and Insurance reports as follows:

"The West  $\frac{1}{2}$  of Lot 16, Block 23, D.L. 185, known as 1139 Pendrell Street, has been offered for sale to the City by the owners. This property forms part of Park Site #7, which is listed under Table II of Park Sites Purchases Program 1971 - 1975, confirmed by City Council on December 15th, 1970.

These premises comprise a two storey frame dwelling with a main floor area of approximately 900 sq. ft., erected in 1900 on a lot 33' x 131', zoned RM-4A. The dwelling contains 10 rooms, (divided into 8 rental units) 15 plumbing fixtures, has a patent shingle roof, wood siding exterior, a concrete and stone foundation, full basement and is heated by an automatic oil-fired furnace. This dwelling is in good condition for its age. The owners occupy a 3 room suite on the main floor, with the remaining units being rented on a month-to-month basis. Rental of this property will continue until the land is required for park purposes.

Following negotiations with the representative of the owners, they have agreed to sell for the sum of \$58,000.00 as of February 28th, 1974 subject to the owners' retaining rent-free possession of their own suite until March 31st, 1974. This price is considered to be fair and equitable. The Superintendent of Parks and Public Recreation concurs in the purchase of this property.

RECOMMENDED that the Supervisor of Property & Insurance be authorized to acquire the above property for the sum of \$58,000.00 on the foregoing basis chargeable to Code #4189/- Park Board Clearing Account."

Your Board

RECOMMENDS that the foregoing recommendation of the Supervisor of Property and Insurance be approved.

2. Acquisition for Knight Street Widening:  
25th Avenue to 33rd Avenue, Lot 26,  
Block 17, District Lots 391 and 392 -  
1392 East 28th Avenue

The Supervisor of Property and Insurance reports as follows:

"The widening and improvement of Knight Street between 25th and 33rd Avenues is to proceed under the 1974 Paving Programme. In order to complete the required 80 foot road allowance, it is necessary to acquire a 7 foot widening strip from the remaining privately-owned properties in the section between 25th and 33rd Avenues. In this instance, the improvement encroaches on the widening strip necessitating the acquisition of the whole property.

These premises comprise a  $1\frac{1}{2}$  storey non-basement frame store building, with a floor area of approximately 385 square feet, erected in 1919 on a corner site 35.4' x 120', zoned RS-1 and as such is non-conforming. The store contains 3 rooms, has 2 plumbing fixtures, a concrete pier foundation, a patent shingle roof, siding exterior, and is heated by an oil circulating heater. Condition of this structure is poor.

cont'd....

Board of Administration, February 15, 1974 . . . (PROPERTIES - 2)

Clause No. 2 continued

Following negotiations, the owner has agreed to sell for the sum of \$30,000.00 including the property and the value of the business, as of January 31st, 1974, subject to the owner reserving the right to remove stock. The owner is also to retain rent-free possession to February 28th, 1974. This price is considered to be fair and equitable and representative of market values of similar properties in the area. It is proposed to demolish this dwelling when vacant.

RECOMMENDED that the Supervisor of Property and Insurance be authorized to acquire this property for the sum of \$30,000.00 on the foregoing basis, chargeable to Code No. 141/2806."

Your Board

RECOMMENDS that the foregoing recommendation of the Supervisor of Property and Insurance be approved.

3. Acquisition for Replotting and Future  
Boundary Road Widening: Lot 5 of Sub.  
'B', Block 108, D.L.'s 36 and 51 -  
4885 Boundary Road

The Supervisor of Property and Insurance reports as follows:

"The above property, (Lot 5 of Sub. 'B', Block 108, District Lots 36 and 51), which is one of five remaining privately-owned properties facing Boundary Road between Tanner and Price Streets, has been offered for sale to the City. At some future date, the East 34' of this property will be required for the widening of Boundary Road, with the balance of the property to be included in a new subdivision of this block.

These premises comprise a single storey non-basement frame dwelling with a main floor area of approximately 650 square feet, erected in 1953 on a lot 31.77' x 120', zoned R.S.-1. The dwelling contains 4 rooms, 4 plumbing fixtures, has a patent shingle roof, stucco and siding exterior, a concrete foundation, and is heated by an automatic gas-fired furnace. The dwelling has been well maintained and is in good condition.

Following negotiations with the owners' representative, they have agreed to sell for the sum of \$30,900.00 as of February 28, 1974, subject to the owners retaining rent-free possession to March 31, 1974. This price is considered to be fair and equitable and represents market value in the area. It is proposed to rent the dwelling until the City requires the land for replotting and the widening of Boundary Road, at which time the dwelling would be demolished.

RECOMMENDED:

That the Supervisor of Property & Insurance be authorized to acquire the above property for the sum of \$30,900.00 on the foregoing basis, chargeable to Code No. 4912/457."

Your Board

RECOMMENDS that the foregoing recommendation of the Supervisor of Property & Insurance be approved.

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S) 276

Departmental Report, February 15, 1974 . . . . . (WORKS - 1)

WORKS AND UTILITY MATTERS

CONSIDERATION

1. Paper Recycling Project:  
City Collection

The City Engineer reports as follows:

"On October 16, 1973 Council approved a report on Recycling which authorized two pilot projects. One was the operation of a Recycling Depot, the details of which have been reported to Council. The other was a four month Paper Recycling project.

On February 25, 1974 it is proposed to begin the 4 month paper recycling project, City collection, in 3 areas of the City whereby paper placed out separately by the Householders will be picked up on their regular garbage collection day by a special truck, and disposed of through local firms which deal in waste paper recycling. The 3 areas are, in general, as follows:

- 1) Granville to Oak, 41st to S.W. Marine Drive.
- 2) Portions of Shaughnessy, Little Mountain and Oakridge areas.
- 3) Portions of Collingwood and Killarney areas, Vanness to 54th Avenue.

Descriptive notices will be delivered to each building in these areas before February 25, 1974 starting date. These outline the project and suggest how the Householder may participate. A copy is attached.

We have received a request from the X-Kalay Foundation Society in which they ask that they be permitted to collect paper from a fourth area in the City on the same basis as the City collection, they also request that the City distribute the same descriptive notices to houses in this area. The Society agrees to provide the City with necessary information to permit evaluation of costs and revenues.

With regard to distribution of notices, we feel the Society should distribute its own notices. If Council decides to permit X-Kalay to collect paper in a fourth beat, we recommend the Valley Drive area, roughly bounded by 16th Avenue, 37th Avenue, West Boulevard and McKenzie Street."

The City Engineer submits this report for Council CONSIDERATION.

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S) 277

Departmental Report, February 15, 1974 . . . . . (BUILDING - 1)

BUILDING AND PLANNING MATTERS

**B-4**

RECOMMENDATION

1. Park Extensions, Strathcona

- A) Proposed Westerly Extension of False Creek Park  
(Site Bounded by Prior, Hawks and Malkin Streets  
and No. 1 Firehall Site)
- B) MacLean Park Extension (South 1/2 of Block 76,  
D.L. 181, on Keefer Street, across from MacLean Park)

The Director of Planning reports as follows:

"Background

On September 24, 1973, the Parks Board passed a resolution requesting City Council to place a park reserve on the unused portion of the new No. 1 Firehall site--an area of approximately 4 1/2 acres immediately west of False Creek Park (see Appendix 1). The Planning Department was asked to prepare a report on this matter.

The Strathcona Rehabilitation Committee (S. R. C.), by resolution of October 3, 1973, supported the Parks Board request to have the balance of the No. 1 Firehall site reserved for parkland. The S. R. C. wishes to have this property reserved for parkland as an alternative to extending MacLean Park to the 1/2 block north of Keefer Street (see Appendix 1).

There has been considerable discussion regarding the MacLean Park extension. On November 7, 1972, Council approved a Planning Department recommendation that the park reserve on the 1/2 block north of Keefer be removed. However, the Parks Board requested that the park reserve not be removed from this property, and on November 28, 1972, Council supported this request.

The MacLean Park extension is presently listed in Table II of the Park Purchase Program of the current Five Year Plan (i.e. designated for protective purchase for future parkland). Five lots in this 1/2 block are City-owned. They were part of a list of sites offered to the Province for its land acquisition program, but were withheld until the park extension issue could be resolved.

Discussion

(A) False Creek Park Extension

The decision regarding the proposed extension to False Creek Park should be made in light of the adequacy of park facilities in the Strathcona area and park acquisition priorities for the City as a whole.

The present park facilities in the Strathcona area are reasonably adequate. The establishment of a Linear Park in Strathcona was approved by Council on October 31, 1972. False Creek Park (while serving a larger area than Strathcona) is already of substantial size (approximately 22 acres).

The acquisition of the 4 1/2 acre portion of the No. 1 Firehall site as parkland would entail the expenditure of a considerable sum of money. This money is not available in the current Five Year Plan. It is suggested that for the present, the City simply retain the 4 1/2 acre site, and the decision as to whether or not the site should be reserved as parkland can be made when the next Five Year Plan is determined. At that time, the desirability of the subject property for parkland can be considered in relation to park acquisition priorities for the City as a whole. It will also be appropriate to consider the desirability of this site for alternative uses.

cont'd ....

Clause No. 1 continued

(B) MacLean Park Extension

It is suggested that the City remove the park reserve on the 1/2 block north of Keefer for the following reasons:

- a. The grant/loan program offered under the terms of the Strathcona Rehabilitation Project continues to be offered to the residents of the subject 1/2 block, and residents have taken advantage of this program to improve their dwellings.
- b. Residents of Strathcona have expressed quite strongly that they do not see the need for this 1/2 block to be reserved as parkland.
- c. The S. R. C. resolved, on October 3, 1973, to convey to Council its desire that the park reserve on the subject property be removed.
- d. The Strathcona Linear Park has been approved by Council (October 31, 1972) and is about to be implemented.
- e. There exists the possibility of expanding parkland in the Strathcona area by adding the 4 1/2 acre balance of the new No. 1 Firehall site to False Creek Park.

Recommendations

It is therefore RECOMMENDED that:

1. The decision, as to whether or not the remainder of the No. 1 Firehall site should be used as parkland, be deferred until park acquisition priorities are determined for the next Five Year Plan, and in the meantime the land be reserved from sale.
2. The south 1/2 of Block 76, D.L. 181 (MacLean Park Extension) be dropped from Table II of the Park Purchase Program.
3. The City pursue the matter of selling the five City-owned lots (in the south 1/2 of Block 76, D.L. 181) to the Province for housing."

2. Strathcona Linear Park

The Director of Planning reports as follows:

"On July 4, 1972 the Parks Board resolved:

"THAT SPOTA be advised the Board agrees in principle to their proposed Linear Park connecting MacLean Park to False Creek Park."

On October 31, 1972 City Council adopted recommendations of the Director of Planning and Civic Development and the Director of Social Planning/Community Development which included:

- "(1) Council approve the Linear Park illustrated on the attached map. (See Appendix I)
- (2) The Board of Parks and Public Recreation be requested in consultation with SPOTA, MacLean Park Tenants' Association and Ray-Cam Co-operative, as well as the appropriate City Departments, to bring in a detailed design and development schedule.
- (3) The City-owned property concerned be reserved for park purposes."

cont'd .....

Departmental Report, February 15, 1974 . . . . . (BUILDING - 3)

Clause No.2 continued

Subsequently, the Parks Board agreed to a request that a consultant chosen by SPOTA be employed to work with the citizens' group in carrying out recommendation (2) above. SPOTA chose Don Vaughan and Associates.

Don Vaughan and Associates have submitted a plan (see Appendix II) which has been endorsed by the citizens' groups. This plan is similar in concept to that already approved by Council. It involves developing a green corridor connecting existing parks. Eleven City-owned lots along the west side of Hawks Avenue between Georgia and Prior Streets would be included in the corridor.

The plan shown in Appendix II is basically acceptable to the Parks Board.

IMPLEMENTATION

A. Financing

A Board of Administration report dated December 14, 1973 dealing with the Strathcona Rehabilitation Project - Financial Situation, states that the linear park development (including lighting and lane paving but excluding land) is expected to cost \$130,000 of the total estimated cost of \$515,000 for all items in the report. The upper limit for the cost of all items was set at \$600,000.

The report also stated:

"It should be noted that, if Council agrees with the suggestion of the Director of Finance, that the cost of land for the linear park should also be charged against the Project, the \$600,000 amount will have to be increased by the value of that land."

Council, on December 18, 1973, passed the following resolution in connection with the above-mentioned report:

"That the recommendation of the Board of Administration contained in this report be approved, and that the reference in the report to linear park development be referred back to the Board of Administration for further report, particularly in respect of the land involved and source of funds."

There are four sets of costs involved in implementing the scheme:

1. Land Cost

All land needed to develop the linear park is City-owned. No dwelling units will be displaced by this park. Most of the land is well below street level, and not suitable for development without filling.

While it is most likely that the senior governments will share the development costs of this park through the Strathcona Rehabilitation Project, preliminary discussions indicate they are most unlikely to share the land costs.

There are no funds allocated in the current Five Year Plan for the Parks Board to acquire this land. The cost to the Parks Board of the 11 lots needed for the linear park is \$165,000.

cont'd .....



Departmental Report, February 15, 1974 . . . . . (BUILDING - 4)

Clause No.2 continued

At its meeting on January 14, 1974, the Board of Parks and Public Recreation passed the following resolution:

"That the Board reiterate their original stand that cost of linear park development (including lighting and lane paving) ie. \$130,000, be provided from the Strathcona Rehabilitation funds and that the purchase price of the City-owned lands should also be charged against the Strathcona Rehabilitation funds and not charged against the Park Board's next Five Year Plan park purchase programs as suggested.

CARRIED."

The Director of Finance believes that the cost of the land for the linear park should come from the Strathcona Rehabilitation Fund.

In view of the position taken by the senior government representatives, and so that the work will go forward as part of the project, the Director of Planning proposes that the Parks Board pay for this land at the end of the current Five Year Plan if sufficient funds remain in the park purchase account; if not, payment be deferred until the 1976-1980 Five Year Plan.

The alternative appears to be interminable negotiation with apparently little prospect of success - and no linear park development.

2. Development Costs

The development costs for the linear park are estimated at \$130,000. These include \$100,000 for park facilities and \$30,000 for lighting and paving.

The development costs will be shared by the senior governments as part of the Strathcona Rehabilitation Project. It is important to proceed with the development of this park now so that the City can take advantage of Strathcona Rehabilitation funds while they are still available.

3. Costs of Street and Sidewalk Alterations and Improvements

These costs are provided for in the Local Improvement Program already approved as part of the public works component of the Strathcona Rehabilitation Project, which is almost completed.

4. Maintenance Costs

These will be paid out of the Park Board's operating budget.

B. Alterations and Improvements to Streets and Lanes

A Local Improvement Program instituted under the Strathcona Rehabilitation Project is currently under way in the Strathcona area. The linear park proposal requires changes in the approved program that must be made immediately, before work in the affected area is begun.

cont'd .....

Clause No.2 continued

The proposed linear park scheme calls for the following traffic and engineering changes:

1. Georgia Street to be cul-de-sac'd in the 700 block west of the Hawks Street alignment.
2. Union Street to be cul-de-sac'd in the 700 block west of the Hawks Street alignment.
3. Hawks Street to be closed between Prior Street and the lane north of Prior, and between Georgia Street and the lane north of Georgia.
4. Those portions of Hawks Street that are to be left open between Prior and Georgia Streets will have a pavement width of 27'. The residual width of Hawks Street will be along the west side of the street.

The Engineering Department recommendations for the necessary formal steps to implement the above alterations are presented below.

The owners of the private properties abutting the affected section of Hawks Avenue have been contacted by SPOTA and, with only one exception, support the proposal. They have made two requests:

1. That the lanes which will be the means of access to (or egress from) the two dead-ended half-blocks should be paved. This seems a reasonable request and provision for paving these lanes is included in the estimate for developing the linear park.
2. That on-street parking zones be reserved for the residents of the two closed half-blocks. If experience shows that they have a problem, then sympathetic consideration would be given to this request.

(The Law Department advise that no formal releases or consents from the abutting owners are legally necessary.)

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. THAT Council approve the general design for the Strathcona linear park as submitted by Don Vaughan and Associates and illustrated in Appendix II, with the work to be carried out immediately as part of the Strathcona Rehabilitation Project.
2. THAT Council approve the traffic engineering changes as listed in points 1 to 4 under Alterations and Improvement to Streets and Lanes in the foregoing report.
3. THAT Council agree to the property being transferred to the Parks Board, with the cost being charged to the Park purchase account if funds are available at the end of the current Five Year Plan; or if not, the cost to be a first charge on the Park purchase account of the next Five Year Plan. \*

\*†The Board of Parks and Public Recreation and the Director of Finance do not concur with this recommendation. They feel that the cost of the land should be shared as part of the cost of the Rehabilitation Project.)"

cont'd .....

Departmental Report, February 15, 1974 . . . . . (BUILDING - 6)

Clause No.2 continued

The City Engineer reports as follows:

"Subject to Council's adoption of the above recommendations of the Director of Planning, I RECOMMEND:

1. THAT the following adjustments to the Local Improvements be made:
  - a. Item 1, Pavements and Curbs, and Item 3, Special Lighting, of Schedule 417A be reduced in scope by deleting those parts of the work on Georgia and Union Streets from Hawks Avenue to points 30 feet west, and on Hawks Avenue from Georgia Street to the lane north and from Prior Street to the lane north.
  - b. Item 2 of Schedule 417A, Sidewalks, be reduced in scope by deleting the sidewalk on the west side of Hawks Avenue from Georgia Street to Prior Street, and
  - c. The properties on the west side of Hawks Avenue between Georgia Street and Prior Street be relieved of Local Improvement charges for the Pavement and Curbs and the Lighting on Hawks Avenue (Items 1 and 3 of Schedule 417A) under Section 67 of the Local Improvement Procedure By-Law on the grounds that the linear park will be interposed between them and the improvements. (This will require a two-thirds vote of all the members of Council on a formal resolution which will be presented when the final Local Improvement costs are known.)
2. THAT the area marked 'A' on the attached plan (WA87-H) be removed from the care, custody and management of the Park Board and the areas 'A' and 'B' dedicated as street (these are required for the cul-de-sacs).
3. THAT the areas of street shown hatched on the attached plan (WA 87-H) be closed and stopped up and placed in the care, custody and management of the Park Board subject to:
  - a. The maintenance of existing pedestrian accesses to all abutting properties and of the existing walks on the east side of Hawks Avenue (or of equivalent alternative facilities) by that Board.
  - b. The right for the construction, maintenance and use of the sidewalks marked 'C' on the said plan.
  - c. The development adjacent to the cul-de-sacs on Georgia and Union Streets allowing emergency passage of Fire Apparatus to the satisfaction of the Fire Chief.
  - d. All existing utilities remaining and any new utilities being installed under the same terms as the City's normal utility easement, and
  - e. The right of Council to withdraw these areas from the care, custody and management of the Park Board with the areas restored to their present condition by that Board in the event of such withdrawal."

cont'd .....

Departmental Report, February 15, 1974 . . . . . (BUILDING - 7)

3. Boat Shelter - 23 South Renfrew Street

The Director of Permits and Licenses reports as follows:

"Mr. E. Antongiovanni has requested permission to appear before Council with respect to the enforcement of the Zoning and Development By-law which requires the removal of a boat shelter constructed on the above property without a permit.

On October 3, 1972, our Inspection Services reported that an over-height boat shelter had been erected on the above property prior to the issuance of the required permit. Following this inspection, Mr. Antongiovanni made an application for a Development Permit and on December 6, 1972 was notified that the Technical Planning Board approved the application, but subject to the following condition:

"Prior to the issuance of the development permit, revised plans are to be submitted or the submitted drawings amended to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning and Civic Development clearly indicating a boat shelter attached to the rear of the dwelling and maintaining the yard requirements of the RS-1 One Family Dwelling District, or alternatively, the accessory building be reduced in size to provide a total area of accessory buildings on this site not exceeding 503 sq. ft. and to be located 5' back from the rear property line and abutting the north property line."

On December 5, 1973, the development permit application was refused as the above condition imposed by the Technical Planning Board had not been met.

On December 17, 1973, a reinspection was made where it was found that the boat shelter was still existing and the owner was subsequently notified to remove same. The following are the alternatives that Mr. Antongiovanni has:

- (a) Remove the offending boat shelter.
- (b) Submit revised plans in accordance with the condition as outlined by the Technical Planning Board and a new development permit then be applied for, or;
- (c) Examine his rights of appeal to the Board of Variance.

RECOMMENDED that the Director of Permits and Licenses be instructed to enforce the By-Law."

DELEGATION REQUEST: E. ANTONGIOVANNI

(Copies of Mr. Antongiovanni's letter dated January 18, 1974 are circulated for the information of Council.)

4. Proposed Public Housing Projects for Senior Citizens - Kitsilano

The Director of Planning reports as follows:

"Drawings and the necessary tender documents for the two projects located at the southeast corner of 2nd Avenue and Cypress Street and at the northeast corner of 7th Avenue and Maple Street are being prepared by Central Mortgage & Housing Corporation. The projects will provide a total of 69 units of accommodation under Federal/Provincial financing arrangements and will form part of the Greater Vancouver Regional District's stock of public housing.

cont'd .....

Departmental Report, February 15, 1974 . . . . . (BUILDING - 8)

Clause No.4 continued

Council, at a Public Hearing on January 31, 1974, approved the rezoning of all lands in Kitsilano then zoned (RM-3) Medium Density Multiple to (RM-3A) Medium Density Multiple. This includes the sites of the two housing projects. The schemes have been designed to conform to the RM-3A zoning and have a height of two storeys plus basement.

Agreements for these projects will be between the Federal and Provincial Governments, Central Mortgage & Housing Corporation, and the Greater Vancouver Regional District. It is understood however that the agreements, in addition to containing clauses relating to the sale of the land by the City and the provision of various municipal services, will, as for previous public housing projects, contain a requirement that prior to construction 'the designs, plans, specifications and construction contract relating thereto shall be approved by the City of Vancouver, the Provincial Minister, and the Corporation'.

It has been the practice in the past to meet this requirement by obtaining Council approval of the designs at the sketch plan stage with the further requirements being covered by the issue of the necessary building and other permits.

The Technical Planning Board, on January 25, 1974, approved the development permits for these projects, with certain conditions to be met prior to the permits being issued. The Technical Planning Board on February 1, 1974 approved the recommendations of the Urban Design Panel that design of these buildings be approved.

Central Mortgage & Housing Corporation has advised that modifications to the plans to comply with the RM-3A District Schedule and to meet the conditions set by the Technical Planning Board are being made and the drawings and other documents are moving ahead with the intention of calling for tenders at about the end of March or early in April, 1974.

It is RECOMMENDED therefore that the City now confirm its approval of these two developments subject to compliance with the conditions set in Development Permits Nos. 65127 and 65128 on the understanding that the normal building permit and other procedures will apply."

INFORMATION

5. Proposed Zoning By-law amendments for both sides of Granville Street, between Drake and Cordova Streets

The Director of Planning reports as follows:-

"City Council on September 25, 1973, approved a report on the Granville Street Transit Mall, including recommendation No. 10 -

'THAT all business licence applications between Nelson and Hastings Streets be referred to the Co-ordinator of the Project by the Department of Permits and Licences prior to any action being taken until further notice by the Co-ordinator.'

The purpose of this recommendation was to allow a review to be made of the appropriateness of new businesses and uses being located along the future mall. In addition to business licence applications all development permit applications, including those for signs, have been discussed with the project co-ordinator.

cont'd .....

Departmental Report, February 15, 1974 . . . . . (BUILDING - 9)

Clause No.5 continued

Physical construction of the mall has now commenced and it is advisable to incorporate the intentions regarding development into the Zoning and Development By-law. Consequently, I made formal applications, dated February 6, 1974, to create two new zones, namely (CM-1A) and (CM-2A) which would restrict the permitted and permissible uses on both sides of Granville Street between Drake and Robson Streets (CM-1A) and Robson and Cordova Streets (CM-2A). The boundaries (Drake and Cordova Streets) are beyond the limits of the transit mall, but I consider the extensions will further protect the quality of the mall.

The two applications will be forwarded to Council for public hearing in the usual manner with recommendations from the Technical Planning Board, the City Planning Commission and the Granville Mall Committee.

This report is submitted for the INFORMATION of City Council."

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S).....271, 278

LICENSES AND CLAIMS MATTERS

CONSIDERATION

- 1. Pacific National Exhibition:  
Extension of Time for Sunday Sports

The Corporation Counsel reports as follows:

The Executive of Pacific National Exhibition has asked that City Council consider amending the Sunday Sports By-law so that there is no cut-off at 6.00 p.m., thus putting Sunday sports in the same class as Sunday movies.

Unfortunately, the 6.00 p.m. cut-off is set down in section 206A of the Charter. Any change would first require a change to the Charter. This year's Charter Amendment Bill is well advanced, and whilst the Legislature has in the past, on special occasions, allowed last-minute amendments, these have generally been confined to "housekeeping" items not involving public or private interests.

The alternatives appear to be:

- (1) To request an amendment to the Charter Bill when it is considered by the Private Bills Committee; or
- (2) To defer the matter to next year so that various affected interests will have an opportunity to make representations.

The foregoing is submitted to Council for CONSIDERATION.

INFORMATION

- 2. Possession of Knives in Public Places

The Corporation Counsel reports as follows:

On January 22, 1974, Council referred the following suggested form of legislation to me for report:

"No person being in a public place shall have in his possession a knife or reasonable facsimile without lawful excuse."

The question for determination is whether the power to create such an offence lies with the City or whether it falls under the jurisdiction of the Federal Government as being legislation in the field of criminal law.

It is assumed that the proposal has in mind some association between possession of a knife and an undesirable risk or result that might flow from such possession. If this is so, then I believe the proposed legislation is of a quasi-criminal nature because a similar situation has already been covered in the Code. Section 83 reads as follows:

"Everyone who carries or has in his possession a weapon or imitation thereof, for a purpose dangerous to the public peace or for the purpose of committing an indictable offence, is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for five years."

When this section is read together with the definition of "weapon" in section 2 of the Code, which would include any kind of a knife, I believe the field has been fully occupied by the Federal Government and the City could not legislate on the same topic for the same purpose.

The Corporation Counsel submits the foregoing report for the INFORMATION of Council.

FINANCE MATTERSINFORMATION1. POSER & RESER Expenditures

The Director of Social Planning reports:

Vancouver City Council, at its meeting on March 20, 1973, adopted Clause 2 of the Standing Committee on Social Services re: Social Planning Department - Purchase of Outside Services (POSER) and Social Research (RESER) Budget Appropriations, subject to the condition that the Director of Social Planning submit Information Reports twice yearly to Council on expenditures incurred.

On July 31, 1973, Vancouver City Council received for Information a report of the Director of Social Planning regarding the Department's POSER and RESER appropriations to July 15, 1973.

POSER

		<u>Approved/Balance</u>
Original appropriation		\$40,500.00
Expenditures to July 15, 1973 (includes salary of Downtown East- side Social Planner to August 31, 1973)	\$29,936.23	10,563.77
<u>Expenditures to January 15, 1974 (taken from 1973 appropriation)</u>		
Alliance of Handicapped	50.00	
Project BREAD	4,000.00	
Downtown Housing Proposal	1,721.00	
Cultural Planning Data	1,000.00	
Resolution of Council (9/11/73) re: Bayview Community School	+5,000.00	
Bayview Community School	5,000.00	
B.C. Association for the Ad- vancement of Coloured People	700.00	
Riley Park	50.00	
Britannia Dig-In	163.90	
Day Care for Waverley School	5.00	
Neighbourhood Services - Community Development (DERA)	750.00	
Britannia Re-entry Class	1,455.00	
Waverley School Social Services Committee Meeting	<u>20.00</u>	
	\$9,914.90	\$ 648.87

Commitments to Date

Recreation Programming in Hastings Elementary School	<u>608.06</u>	\$ <u>40.81</u>
---	---------------	-----------------

RESER

		<u>Approved/Balance</u>
Original appropriation		\$15,000.00
PLUS: Estimated CAP Recovery		
Spring Street	\$4,000.00	
Youth Treatment Facilities	600.00	
United Way Census Data	750.00	
Downtown Eastside Study	2,500.00	
Area Conservation Study	750.00	
Public Housing in the Community	163.00	
Social Trends in Vancouver	1,500.00	
Consulting Service re West End Zoning Proposals	300.00	
Community Development Research	<u>100.00</u>	
	\$10,663.00	25,663.00

cont'd...



Departmental Report, February 15, 1974 . . . . . (FINANCE - 2)

Clause #1 continued:

City Council (10/16/73) authorized  
transfer of funds to Spring  
Street (includes \$2,950 CAP)

5,900.00 31,563.00

Expenditures to July 15, 1973

21,503.67 10,059.33

Expenditures to January 15, 1974  
(taken from 1973 appropriation)

Spring Street Evaluation  
Research

7,217.54

(\$150.00 estimate for  
printing of draft and  
final report)

150.00

Consulting Service re West  
End Zoning Proposals

900.00

\$8,267.54

1,791.79

Commitments to Date

Community Development  
Research

200.00

Spring Street allocated  
balance

1,026.51

\$1,226.51

\$ 565.28

As of January 15, 1974, POSER has an unspent balance of \$40.81  
and RESER, \$565.28. Description of each new item is attached.

The Director of Social Planning submits the foregoing report for  
the INFORMATION of Council.

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S) 279

REPORT TO COUNCILSTANDING COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL  
ON SOCIAL SERVICES

January 31, 1974

A meeting of the Standing Committee of Council on Social Services was held on Thursday, January 31, 1974 at approximately 1:30 p.m. in the No. 1 Committee Room, third floor, City Hall.

PRESENT: Alderman Rankin (Chairman)  
Alderman Gibson, Hardwick and Marzari

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. J. Denofreo, Executive Assistant  
to the Minister of Human Resources

CLERK: M. Kinsella

RECOMMENDATION

1. Grant Request for a Fraserview Neighbourhood  
Services Community Worker

The Director of Social Planning submitted the following report for the Committee's consideration:

The Director of Social Planning reports:

"The Fraserview/Killarney Area Council is requesting a grant of \$4,500 to pay the salary of a community worker for Fraserview Neighbourhood Services.

This community worker functions essentially as the liaison between the community programmes and volunteers of the Area Council and the Fraserview staff. The position is important to assure a continuation of community participation, an on-going training of volunteers and a co-ordination of community and staff programmes.

The position is presently funded by a Secretary of State grant which terminates on January 31, 1974. A City grant of \$4,500 would continue the position for an additional six months (February 1 to July 31, 1974) and allow for an increase in salary effective November 1, 1973 from \$460 to \$625 per month. The Area Council is requesting consideration of this grant in advance of the regular grant request hearings to insure continuity of the position.

The grant request has been made for a six month period only because of the pending re-organization of the Social Services system in South Vancouver. The Human Resources staff for South Vancouver have informed us there is no possibility for them to give this position the appropriate consideration until the new Resource Board and budgets are established. They have requested that, where possible, current programmes such as this one, should be maintained until they can be assimilated into the new organization."

cont'd ....

Clause No. 1 (cont'd)

Mrs. Wyatt, Fraserview Neighbourhood Services, spoke to the above report. Mr. Doug Purdy, Social Planner, advised for information that the Fraserview Neighbourhood Services is part of the Library complex at 1950 Argyle, officially opened by the City in January, 1972. C.A.S. leases from the City one half of the area for six child welfare workers and the City hosts, Probation, Welfare, Y.W.C.A., Family Service Centre and the Area Council in the other four offices. Numerous OFY and LIP workers and community volunteers also jointly share accommodation. Following further discussion, your Committee

## RECOMMENDED

THAT City Council approve a grant to the Fraserview Neighbourhood Services of \$4,500 to fund a community worker for a six month period, February 1, 1974 to July 31, 1974, at a salary of \$625.00 per month, this grant to be contingent upon the Fraserview Neighbourhood Services obtaining cost sharing of the position through Canada Assistance Plan.

## FURTHER RECOMMENDED

THAT the Director of Social Planning be instructed to initiate discussions with the Department of Human Resources on the relative roles of Vancouver City Council and the Department of Human Resources in community worker funding.

2. Lengthy Delays Encountered by  
People Applying for Mincome

Mr. W. C. Hennessey, Counsellor and Community Worker, First United Church, submitted to the Chairman a copy of his letter to the Honourable Norman Levi on lengthy delays encountered by people applying for Mincome. The Team of First United Church is concerned about the delay of over one month between making the application for Mincome and commencement of payments. The letter suggested that the Department of Welfare & Rehabilitation re-instate their previous procedure whereby applications for Mincome were received at all district offices rather than being processed at the Central Office, 1530 West 8th Avenue, as is the case at present.

Mr. W. B. Wynn, Assistant Director, Department of Welfare & Rehabilitation, concurred that the Mincome applications are now received and processed in one central location, i.e. 1530 West 8th Avenue. The applications are currently backlogged to March 19, 1974 (there are presently 200 on the waiting list with up to 2000 still to be considered). Up to now there have been 10 interviewers (each interviewer does an average of 10 interviews a day) and 3 clerks working on this programme. However, because of the Department's and the Minister of Human Resources' concern with the lengthy delays being encountered by applicants for Mincome, arrangements have been made with the Provincial staff at 411 Dunsmuir Street for them to undertake 20 of the backlogged interviews per day. This should reduce the current backlog by four weeks. Following further discussion, it was

cont'd ....

Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

RECOMMENDED

THAT Council request Mr. R. Butler, Assistant Director of Community Services, Department of Human Resources, to review the possibility of additional staff being placed in the North Area Unit office on Commercial Drive to undertake interviewing of Mincome applicants in the same manner as presently under way at 411 Dunsmuir Street, with a report back to the Standing Committee on Social Services within one month.

3. Welfare Line-ups

Mr. W. C. Hennessey, Counsellor and Community Worker, First United Church, asked the Committee to consider the extremely long line-ups on issue days at the Single Men's Unit, 517 Hamilton Street. He stated that he has on a number of occasions observed line-ups of men outside the building in all weathers and sometimes these men have had to stand in line for up to two hours before receiving their cheque.

Mr. Hennessey went on to discuss with the Committee and the representatives of the Welfare & Rehabilitation Department and the Department of Human Resources specific cases of persons who had made numerous requests for direct mailing but were still having to pick up their cheques from either the Single Men's Unit or, in the case of an elderly woman, from 1530 West 8th Avenue. Mr. Wynn, Assistant Director, Welfare & Rehabilitation Department, requested Mr. Hennessey to supply him with all necessary details of these cases and undertook to investigate them and take whatever action was necessary to remedy the situations.

There was discussion on the possibility of instituting direct mailing for all unemployables registered at the Single Men's Unit. Mr. Wynn pointed out that one of the problems is the mobility of 75% of the Single Men's Unit clientele.

Mr. Hennessey then referred to the problems some veterans have encountered in their efforts to obtain welfare payments. He cited a case of a badly disabled man for whom he was unable to obtain a cheque at the North Unit and was told that this person would have to go to the Single Men's Unit and line up to make application. Mr. Wynn suggested that in future, cases of this nature be referred to the Health Care and Aging Division of the Department of Welfare and Rehabilitation, which would arrange a home visit if necessary for any person who is unable to go out to make application for welfare. Following further discussion, it was

RECOMMENDED

THAT Council request Mr. R. Butler, Assistant Director of Community Services, Department of Human Resources, to institute a procedure on the next day of issue at the Single Men's Unit, 517 Hamilton Street, of offering every unemployable recipient the option of picking up his cheque or having it mailed to him.

Mr. Denofreo undertook to also discuss this matter with Mr. Butler, as well as the whole matter of staffing at the Single Men's Unit.

4. Management of the Dangerous Patient in Vancouver

On August 16, 1973, the Standing Committee on Social Services requested the Medical Health Officer to report back to the Committee on interim solutions to the problem of resources for committing and/or restraining the violently disturbed person.

The Medical Health Officer under date of January 24, 1974 submitted the following report:

"A review of the emergency and in-patient facilities of the Vancouver General Hospital, a visit and review of facilities at Riverview Mental Hospital (including the Riverside Unit) and the Vancouver City Jail have been undertaken.

Following discussion with individual people associated with the running of the aforementioned facilities, a meeting was held in City Hall on January 10, 1974 with the City Prosecutor, a representative of the Chief Constable, the Administrator of the Vancouver General Hospital, a representative of the Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine and the Medical Director of Riverview Mental Hospital. After considerable discussion it was conceded that the basic need is for a facility which has capability for holding persons for examination and treatment of mental illness to which the Police Departments and the Courts have access and which has significant assessment capabilities for determining the nature and degree of mental problems.

It was agreed that the Vancouver General Hospital was not equipped to detain dangerous patients and, therefore, could not provide proper assessment at the existing facilities. The City Jail was not suitable for proper assessment of the mentally ill patient although it is necessary to carry out screening examinations at this location. Riverview Mental Hospital is not readily accessible because of distance and because of treatment pressures which do not always guarantee immediate access.

It is therefore RECOMMENDED that a facility of approximately 15 to 20 beds be established to serve the needs of the Police Departments and the Courts as a centre for appropriate assessment of the potentially mentally ill persons and to provide a centre for initial treatment pending Court and medical decision regarding the definitive location and/or treatment plan in each case.

There was considerable discussion concerning location. The choice rested between a special unit at Vancouver General Hospital and a specialized extension of the infirmary or hospital part of the new Remand Centre on Powell Street, which is scheduled to replace Okalla Prison. It was agreed that the latter location was preferable. Although extensive equipment and sophisticated support service must be supplied by temporary transfer of patients to Vancouver General Hospital, the location on Powell Street would profoundly simplify the major transportation problem of transporting prisoners to the Courts from the Treatment Centre. As it is suggested that this be operated by the Provincial Government as part of the Justice Administration system, there would be no problem of holding persons in this facility who had been charged for various offences or remanded by the Judge for report. This facility would also provide a brief holding service pending medical committal processes to Riverview or Orders-in-Council for detention at Riverside. Such a facility would provide an environment far superior to the Jail and would be far less likely to seriously aggravate the psychiatric condition.

It is intended that the assessment process carried out in this facility would be done by Psychiatrists either employed by the Attorney-General or assigned by the Provincial Mental Health Branch, or contracted for with the Greater Vancouver Metropolitan Board of Health Mental Health Project or with the Vancouver General Hospital. In any case, a working agreement with the Vancouver General Hospital for supportive diagnostic service is indicated and practical. The process of assessment would also make recommendations re the

cont'd ....

Clause No. 4 (cont'd)

best pattern of medical management. The Judge would then be in a position to take this into account in making a decision. In this way, this information made available to the Judge may, in fact, prevent any unnecessary order to Riverview Mental Hospital. With decreased pressure on the Hospital it is more likely that rapid commitment to Riverview when indicated would be possible.

Medical opinion favours a five-day assessment period with the probability that simpler more straight forward problems could be sorted out within a day or two. The Police Department estimates that approximately three persons per day are picked up by the Police who note the possibility of mental disturbance. This forms the basis of the estimated 15 to 20 beds.

The meeting also favoured the availability, pending the development of this facility, of a psychiatrist to the City Jail on a daily basis."

The above report was discussed in detail with representatives of the Mental Patients Association, the Medical Health Officer and Staff Inspector Brown, Police Department. Staff Inspector Brown endorsed the Medical Health Officer's suggestion that a psychiatrist be available at the city jail on a daily basis. At the present time psychiatric assessment is available at the jail twice a week, on Tuesdays and Thursdays and this leads to delays of up to two weeks between the time a person is charged, receives psychiatric assessment and is referred for necessary treatment.

The Medical Health Officer stated that the facilities at Vancouver General Hospital are inadequate to handle the dangerous patient. There is a 15 bed assessment unit with four cell-like rooms. In addition, the Vancouver General Hospital's facilities are heavily overloaded and understaffed.

The Greater Vancouver Federated Anti Poverty Groups protested the proposal set forth in the Medical Health Officer's report.

Your Committee briefly discussed this matter with representatives of the Mental Patients Association and the consensus was that in addition to the facility for managing the dangerous patient there still remains the problem of the emotionally ill person who has not been charged but who is nevertheless in need of immediate treatment. Dr. Bonham referred to the Metropolitan Board of Health's mental health project. Mental Health Teams are under way in six areas of the City and two more have been budgeted for. However, this will not greatly alleviate the problem of the person in need of emergency treatment particularly after hours and the consensus of the Committee was that the whole health care system has a responsibility to develop needed facilities. Following further discussion of this item, it was

RECOMMENDED

- A. THAT the Council of the City of Vancouver request the Attorney-General to develop an assessment centre for potentially mentally ill persons as part of the Remand Centre to be located across from the new Courts;
- B. THAT the Provincial Government be asked to appoint or fund daily psychiatric services for the City Jail as soon as possible.

INFORMATION

5. Vancouver Indian Centre Requests for Assistance

A. Request for Space at North Area Service Unit

Vancouver Indian Centre submitted a request that a room be made available in the North Area Service Unit for use by their two home-school co-ordinators in the Grandview-Strathcona area.

RESOLVED

THAT the Director of Social Planning investigate the availability of a room at the North Area Service Unit for use by the home-school co-ordinators of the Vancouver Indian Centre.

B. Request for Surplus City-owned Car

The Vancouver Indian Centre also requested a car be made available to the Centre for use by Mrs. A. Dennis, Home-school co-ordinator. Mrs. Dennis' work involves visiting various schools, as well as homes of the children on her case load. Due to lack of private transportation, she has to use the bus and finds this mode of transportation most inconvenient and time consuming.

RESOLVED

THAT the Director of Social Planning investigate the possibility of a surplus City-owned car being made available to the Vancouver Indian Centre for use by Mrs. Dennis, Home-school co-ordinator, with a report back to the Committee as soon as possible.

6. Grant Request - Vancouver Peoples' Law School

Representatives of the Vancouver Peoples' Law School appeared before your Committee in support of their request for a grant of approximately \$16,800 for a one year period, these monies to be used as follows:

Salaries of two workers - Managing Director	\$7,200.00
- Media Director	7,800.00
Approximately 1/3 of their annual operating costs	<u>1,800.00</u>
	\$16,800.00

It was noted that in their initial submission, the Vancouver Peoples' Law School had requested a grant equivalent to the salary of ONE worker plus a share of the operating costs. The delegation before your Committee today indicated that the organization was requesting funding of the additional salary for a Media Director because of the increased demands from School Boards, Educational T.V. Programmes, etc. for law education programmes, booklets, etc. The group had previously submitted a budget for information of the Committee, copy of which is attached.

Your Committee discussed with the delegation the present funding of the school -- the Vancouver Law Foundation gives them a grant of \$5,000 plus an additional \$5,000 subject to their obtaining grants on a matching basis; \$5,000 from the Department of Citizenship; funding from O.F.Y. in the amount of \$5,000. In addition, the Provincial Attorney-General has indicated he will give favourable consideration to a grant request from this group. Mr. Denofreo agreed to discuss the Vancouver Peoples' Law School grant with the Attorney-General.

cont'd ....

Standing Committee of Council on Social Services . . . . . 7  
January 31, 1974

Clause No. 6 (cont'd)

The point was made that the Vancouver Peoples' Law School serves not only the City of Vancouver but the rest of the Province, particularly with respect to their educational component.

RESOLVED

THAT the grant request from the Vancouver Peoples' Law School be referred to the Director of Social Planning for evaluation for an early report back to the Committee with recommendations, these recommendations to include cost sharing.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 3:30 p.m.

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S) 284, 285



REPORT TO COUNCIL

STANDING COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL ON  
FINANCE & ADMINISTRATION

January 31, 1974

A meeting of the Standing Committee on Finance and Administration was held in No. 2 Committee Room, Third Floor, City Hall, on Thursday, January 31, 1974 at 1:45 p.m.

PRESENT: Alderman Bowers (Chairman)  
Alderman Harcourt  
Alderman Volrich  
Alderman Linnell

COMMITTEE  
CLERK: M. Sharkey

INFORMATION:

1. Store Closing Hours

On November 8, 1973 the Finance and Administration Committee requested the Director of Permits and Licenses to submit a report and recommendations regarding Store Closing Hours regulations.

The Director of Permits and Licenses reported as follows:

"The Committee has asked for suggestions for more uniform enforcement of existing by-laws. Enforcement is now carried out by the Police Department and the Chief Constable advises:

"It must be appreciated that in order to have an effective compliance with the by-law that it would require steady enforcement practices on all offending premises. This would involve considerable time."

"It would seem appropriate if we are to enforce the by-law we should proceed on the basis of complaint similar to the procedure we recommended in the case of Barber Shop Closing."

To provide more uniform enforcement of the present regulations would require additional staff time be provided by the Police Department or hiring special inspectors attached to the License Office.

The Committee also asked for suggestions for revising the categories of exempted stores. The present exempted categories include drug stores, service stations, record stores (providing the retail selling price of electrical appliances does not exceed \$10.00) plus other categories. The Law Department advises:

"With the advent of large drug super markets, such as London Drugs, in the City of Vancouver, we have a unique situation of that store selling anything from leather goods to stereophonic sound systems worth up to \$1,000 at any hour up to the time they choose to close, which could be midnight or later. In short, the listing or unlisting of items which may or may not be sold during specified hours has become virtually impossible to regulate. We are not aware of all the problems of enforcement involved in this by-law but we can anticipate a few, and our conclusion is that at this point in time the cost of enforcement of this by-law would be prohibitive and completely ineffectual."

The Chief License Inspector advises from reading newspaper ads and from personal observation during evening visits, it is obvious that the provisions of Closing Hour By-law No. 4462 are not being complied with by some merchants.

Some lower mainland municipalities have no closing by-law, and others do. The City of Victoria repealed all closing hour by-laws some years ago, and the Chief License Inspector has been informed that they have had no problems as a result.

Cont'd . . .

The following information has been received from the City Clerk:

Our survey included the District of North Vancouver, the Corporation of the District of Burnaby, the District of West Vancouver, the City of New Westminster, the Corporation of the Township of Richmond, the Cities of Port Coquitlam and Port Moody, and the Districts of Port Coquitlam, Delta and Surrey. The first four of these Municipalities' by-laws state, in one way or another, that shops must close Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday and Saturday not later than six o'clock in the afternoon and on Thursday and Friday not later than nine o'clock in the afternoon. The latter seven Municipalities have unrestricted or wide-open shopping hours excepting for those imposed by the Lord's Day Act. Two of the Districts mentioned an exception, for Barber Shops and Beauty Salons where hours are still restricted to closing at six o'clock in the afternoon.

In view of the above there appears to be two alternatives:

- 1. To repeal all closing by-laws.
- 2. To rigidly enforce the existing by-law. As noted above this procedure would require additional staff time to be provided by the Police Department or hiring special inspectors attached to the License Office.

It is suggested that the Committee may wish to obtain the views of interested parties before considering these two alternatives further.

The Committee agreed that the problem of Store Closing Hours regulations had been outstanding for a number of years and a public meeting had previously been held and briefs presented.

Inequities exist in the present By-law regulations allowing some neighbourhood service stores, to stay open 7 days a week until 11 p.m., while other stores such as specialty shops and Department stores have rigidly controlled closing hours. In order to enforce the present By-law it would be necessary to increase manpower substantially.

Other municipalities in the G.V.R.D. have removed all closing hour regulations and have experienced no difficulties.

In addition to the two alternatives suggested in the report of the Director of Permits and Licenses in enforcement the present by-law might remain in force with no amendments or changes. The Lord's Day Act is still in force and, unless amended or repealed, controls the store closing hours on Sundays.

Another suggested method of gaining public opinion would be by plebiscite at the next election.

It was felt by the Committee that various groups, unions, merchants and public groups should be allowed to voice opinions at a public meeting on whether it is advisable to change the present by-law regulations.

The Committee RESOLVED ,

"THAT this Committee hold a public meeting in the evening, in one month's time in the Council Chamber, City Hall, to discuss the question of Store Closing Hours. All persons who attended a previous meeting to be notified and notice of the meeting to be advertised. Written submissions will be invited from individuals."

RECOMMENDATION

2. Civic Grants - Social Services

The Director of Social Planning presented a report to this Committee reviewing the present civic grant procedures for health, services and recommends changes in four aspects of procedure; budgeting, timing, criteria and public information.

" The Director of Finance would point out to the Committee that the net cost to the City in 1971-73 for these grants was:

		<u>% Increase</u>
1971	\$136,882	
1972	187,126	36.7%
1973	288,517	54.2%

The recommended options of the Director of Social Planning are for grants of \$426,000 or \$510,000 for 1974, either of which represents a very significant increase over 1973.

With respect to civic grants, the present procedure is to review the previous year's civic grant budget and increase it by ten percent, more or less, depending on increased programme costs and increased number of civic grant requests.

A few well-established organizations have received the lion's share of the civic grant budget in the last few years. For example, in 1973, two organizations, Nieghbourhood Services Association and Family Services Centre, received about 35% of the total allocated. Appropriate budget limitations might assure a wider and more equitable distribution of civic funds to organizations and citizen groups throughout the City. More formal civic grant procedural guidelines and an improved arrangement for processing civic grant requests in co-operation with the Provincial and Federal Governments and United Community Services are desirable.

TIMING

The procedure for processing civic grant applications is time consuming and costly. Staff seldom have sufficient time to fully review and evaluate requests. United Community Services has found that by moving their entire grant process forward a year, they have been able to overcome the problem of "grant lag". For example, they were already reviewing 1974 grant requests of UCS affiliated agencies while the City was just completing its 1973 allocations. Our system causes anxiety on the part of applying agencies because grant requests are not approved until April. If the agency or group is not self-sufficient and its programme and staff are dependent upon funding effecitve in January, it must borrow money, seek funds elsewhere or wait in limbo until a decision is made by the City in March or April.

Most agencies applying for City grants are already committed to an expenditure of funds in the first quarter of the year, part of which they anticipate getting from the City. A grant becomes an after the fact 'must' to help them out of financial difficulties. Our timing works against objective evaluation, and encourages reliance on precedent rather than priority. Lack of sufficient leadtime also makes it more difficult for us to prune or redirect civic grants without appearing to be punitive. It hinders proper programme evaluation by City staff. Two years ago, City Council approved an exception to the

Report to Council  
Standing Committee of Council on  
Finance and Administration  
January 31, 1974 . . . . .

rule for Information Centres, permitting their civic grant to run from April to March 31,

In addition to grant requests received prior to budget approval in April of each year, requests are received during the year. Between April 1 and December 31, eleven were received in 1972 and fifteen were received in 1973. Each must be handled on an individual basis. A request for \$1,000 may cost the City half as much again for staff services and reporting to City Council.

CRITERIA

During a joint meeting of the Committee on Finance and Administration and Social Services (March 15, 1973), some Aldermen expressed the view that civic grants should be used to encourage new and innovative programmes. A general viewpoint expressed at that meeting of the Committee was that organizations should not expect to receive civic grants year after year. The priority and quality of the programme are more important factors than the precedent of having received a grant.

PUBLIC INFORMATION

The public is generally unaware of the civic grant system. In the past, applications for civic grants have been sent only to those organizations which received grants the previous year, or to those who specifically requested application forms. New organizations with new ideas and new programmes should be encouraged to compete with established organizations for civic grants.

A number of recommendations regarding changes in the civic grant procedure follow. If they are adopted by City Council, they should receive wide public circulation in brochure form."

After considering the recommendations contained in the report and quoted as follows, the Committee took action as noted.

"The Director of Social Planning RECOMMENDS:

1. a. ~~1974 civic grant~~ applications :  
be received by February 28 and reviewed by the Standing Committees of Council on Finance and Administration and Social Services in March for recommendation to Council, as in previous years.
1. b. The recommendations shall be within the budget limitations established for such grant requests as proposed in this report, recommendation #3.
- c. Each grant application shall be considered individually and shall require eight (8) votes of City Council for final approval as required by the City Charter.
2. a. 1975 grants be dealt with on a new schedule, starting early Fall, 1974. The recommendations of the Standing Committees of Council on Finance and Administration and Social Services be completed by the second week in December, 1974, and be dealt with at the second Council meeting in 1975.
- b. This become the on-going annual procedure."

Report to Council  
Standing Committee of Council on  
Finance and Administration  
January 31, 1974 . . . . . 5

I.

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS,

THAT the recommendations of the Director of Social Planning for 1a, and 1c; 2a and 2b be approved, and that 1b not be considered for this year.

The Director of Social Planning submits items 3a and 3b for CONSIDERATION of this Committee:

3. Vancouver City Council establish:

- a. A maximum civic grant budget (gross) of \$1.00 per resident population of Vancouver (i.e. \$426,000 maximum - 1972).

- or -

- b. A maximum civic grant budget of one half of one percent of the City's operating budget of the preceeding year (i.e. \$510,000 maximum - 1972).

4. a. That 10% of a civic grant budget be approved and reserved for requests involving new requests received after the formal civic grant process has been completed.
- b. The Finance Committee recommend a way to process small grant requests more economically and expeditiously.

II.

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS,

THAT items 3a and 3b not be considered for this year.

FURTHER THAT item 4a not be considered for this year.

FURTHER THAT item 4b be withdrawn.

5. That the following criteria for civic grants be adopted:

- a. Civic grants are to be used in or for the residents of the City of Vancouver.
- b. Only non-profit organizations be eligible for civic grants.
- c. Civic grant applicants must show financial responsibility; e.g. produce annual financial statements and show evidence of proper financial accountability. Organizations receiving civic grants shall agree to provide annual written descriptions and assessments of their programmes for distribution to City Council and the general public. Grants are not restricted to established and well-organized agencies. Where financial and organizational expertise are lacking, City officials will offer assistance.

Report to Council  
Standing Committee of Council on  
Finance and Administration  
January 31, 1974 . . . . .

6

III.

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS,

THAT items 5a and 5b be approved.

FURTHER THAT item 5c be amended as follows and approved as amended:

Civic grant applicants must show financial responsibility; e.g. produce annual financial statements and show evidence of proper financial accountability. Organizations receiving civic grants shall agree to provide annual written descriptions and assessments of their programmes for distribution to City Council and the general public. Grants are not restricted to established and well-organized agencies. Where administrative, financial and record keeping assistance is lacking, Social Planning officials will offer assistance.

5. d. Grants will be for new and innovative programmes as well as established programmes, with approximately 60% of the civic grant budget going to established programmes and 40% designated for new and innovative programmes.

IV.

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS,

THAT item 5d be amended and approved as amended:

Grants will be for new and innovative programmes as well as established programmes.

- e. As a general rule, a new agency or new programme may be funded in whole or in part by the City for three years, following which time, permanent funding from the Provincial Government is to be sought.

V.

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS,

THAT item 5e be amended, and approved as amended:

As a general rule, a new agency or new programme may be funded in whole or in part by the City for a maximum period of three years, following which time, permanent funding from the Provincial Government is to be sought.

- f. The City may wish to fund certain programmes on a longer term basis, but these are to be the exceptions.

VI.

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS,

THAT item 5f be approved.

Cont'd . . .

Report to Council  
Standing Committee of Council on  
Finance and Administration  
January 31, 1974 . . . . . 7

- g. The maximum grant to any one agency or service will not exceed 10% of that year's civic grant budget.

VII.

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS,  
THAT item 5g be withdrawn.

- h. Organizations receiving unilateral funding from the Provincial and Federal Governments or United Community Services, without prior consultation with the City, will not be funded by the City when the other funding terminates.
- i. Council authorise the Director of Social Planning to prepare an information card or brochure setting out the City's policy regarding civic grants for social services.

VIII.

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS,  
THAT items 5h and 5i be approved.

- 6. A four-level Grant Review Committee immediately be established, comprised of officials from United Community Services, the City, Provincial Government, Federal Departments of Manpower and Immigration and the Secretary of State, chaired by the Director of Social Planning for the purpose of:
  - a. Sharing information, prior to grant decisions, of all grant requests in and for the City of Vancouver, dealt with by the above-mentioned parties.
  - b. developing policy positions concerning areas of responsibility and cost-sharing arrangements for certain types of grant requests for consideration by the respective political bodies."

IX.

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS,  
THAT items 6a and 6b be approved.

INFORMATION

3. Cultural Grants

A request had been received from Mr. Locke, Chairman of the Cultural Advisory Committee, that Council reconsider its decision not to allocate a certain sum for the distribution of grants to small cultural organizations.

After some discussion THE COMMITTEE RESOLVED,

THAT Mr. Locke of the Cultural Grants Advisory Committee be informed that the Finance and Administration Committee cannot make a committment of monies for grants at this time, and

FURTHER THAT this Committee request the Cultural Grants Advisory Committee to give a priority rating for grants to small organizations, and

FURTHER THAT Mr. Locke may assume that monies provided for such grants will be at least 10% above those provided for Cultural Grants in the 1973 budget.

Report to Council  
 Standing Committee of Council on  
 Finance and Administration  
 January 31, 1974 . . . . .

8

#### 4. Preliminary 1974 Operating Budget Priority Review

This Committee continued to review the 1974 Preliminary Operating Budget Priority Rating Review.

After ~~consideration~~ and discussion of items the Committee  
**RESOLVED:**

A. THAT the 1974 Budget Review Program Priority Rating prepared by City Departments of Personnel Services Department, City Planning Department, Vancouver Police Department, Probation Services, Juvenile Detention Home, Provincial Court, Social Planning Department, Welfare and Rehabilitation Services be accepted for the information of this Committee to be reviewed at the time of budget finalization.

B. THAT the Chief Constable be requested to attend a meeting of this Committee to more fully explain the following items of the Police Department budget:

Item 3 - Marine Squad (p. 77)

- explanation of impact of reducing the costs of this service

Item 4 - Mounted Squad

- possibility of the City recovering a portion of the cost of extra services for special events from the promoters of such events.

Item 5 - Bicycle Registration

- possibility of recovering costs of this service through increased license fees.

Parking Squad (p. 90)

- ways and means to effect savings by different methods of enforcement.

C. Extra Policing Services

THAT the Pacific National Exhibition be requested to prepare a report for this Committee on possible ways in which the City may be able to recover costs for extra police services for events at the P.N.E. grounds.

D. Vancouver Police Department (pages 83 & 84)

THAT the Police Commission be requested to report on budget items:

- (1) Morality Squad
- (2) Liquor Squad
- (3) Gambling Squad
- (4) Narcotic Squad

on the degree in which these services should be supported by senior levels of Government.

E. Vancouver Police Department (p. 91)  
School Boy Patrol:

THAT this item be moved from priority 3 to priority 4.



Report to Council  
Standing Committee of Council on  
Finance and Administration  
January 31, 1974 . . . . . 9

F. Vancouver Police Department (pages 94 to 100)  
Jail:

THAT the priority rating and set budget amounts for staffing and maintenance of the City Jail, Probation Services, Juvenile Detention Home, Criminal and Traffic Division, and Family Court, be deferred pending negotiations for funding with the Provincial Government.

G. West End Planning Program (p. 103)

THAT the Director of Planning and the Director of Social Planning present a report to a joint meeting of the Standing Committee on Civic Development and Standing Committee on Community Development on the progress of the West End Planning function and the possible phasing out of this function.

The meeting adjourned at 3:45 p.m.

\* \* \* \*

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S) 285

REPORT TO COUNCIL

STANDING COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL  
ON CIVIC DEVELOPMENT

FEBRUARY 5, 1974

A meeting of the Standing Committee of Council on Civic Development was held in the #2 Committee Room, 3rd Floor, City Hall, on Tuesday, February 5, 1974 at 9:00 a.m.

PRESENT: Alderman Hardwick, Chairman  
Alderman Massey  
Mayor Phillips

ABSENT: Alderman Bowers  
Alderman Pendakur (on leave)

---

The Minutes of January 17, 1974 were approved.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. False Creek -- 1974 Capital Budget

Under date of January 28, 1974 the Board of Administration submitted a report on the Capital Budget for the year 1974 for the City Owned Lands development in False Creek and the Board and the Director of Finance recommended as follows:

- "1. The staff and office budget for 1974 of \$125,405 be approved in advance of the 1974 Capital Budget,
2. The amount of \$76,550 be allocated from the 1973 Capital Budget - Consultant services, for expenditures incurred for the design team fees and expenses, developer proposal expenses, per diem consultants, Area 6 Noise Study, 6th Avenue Design Study, with the Development Consultant having discretionary authority to expend these funds, subject to normal City budget procedures.

The Director of Finance RECOMMENDS that the staff and office budget, when approved, be provided for in the 1974 Supplementary Capital Budget, For the purposes of control, these expenditures will initially be reflected in the Revenue Budget and then transferred in total to the Capital Budget."

The Development Consultant advised the meeting that it was his opinion that the amount of \$125,000 would cover the salaries and office operation expenses but that \$76,000 allocated for design team expenses would not be sufficient.

The Committee, in considering the report had reference to the Board of Administration report dated February 1, 1974 entitled "Staff Organization for False Creek - Planning and Development" and noted that Council would be considering it at today's meeting.

After further consideration your Committee;

RECOMMENDS

THAT the 1974 Capital Budget for False Creek as detailed in the report of the Board of Administration dated January 28, 1974 be approved and;

STANDING COMMITTEE ON CIVIC DEVELOPMENT  
February 5, 1974  
cont'd

2

Clause 1 cont'd

THAT this clause of the Committee's report be considered by Council at the same time as the Board of Administration report dated February 1, 1974 re "Staff Organization for False Creek - Planning and Development".

2. Citizens' Advisory Panel

Under date of January 31, 1974 the Development Consultant submitted a report entitled "Appoint of Citizens' Advisory Panel for Design of City Owned Lands False Creek

The report ended with recommendations which were considered by the Committee. The recommendations of the Design Consultant are as follows:

- "1. The number of members on the Citizens' Advisory Panel be a maximum of 20.
2. The 14 whose names appear below be invited by Vancouver City Council in a letter from the Development Consultant to serve on the Citizens' Advisory Panel.
3. Up to an additional six members be invited by the Development Consultant on approval of the Chairman of the Standing Committee of Council re Civic Development, based on the response to the current advertisements."

The Development Consultant explained to the members of the Committee the philosophy behind his recommendations and choice of participants.

After further consideration the Committee;

RECOMMENDS

- A. The number of members on the Citizens' Advisory Panel be a maximum of 20.
- B. Members be invited by the Development Consultant on approval of the Chairman of the Standing Committee of Council re Civic Development, based on the response to the current advertisements.

The Committee referred consideration of the second recommendation to an "In Camera" meeting of the Committee.

3. Procedure for Public Involvement in the Selection of a Design Concept and Identification of People Interested in Living in False Creek.
- 

Under date of January 31, 1974 the Design Consultant submitted a report on a procedure for public involvement and the identification of persons interested in living in False Creek.

Attached is a copy of the report.

The Committee considered the recommendations in their two sections and in connection with recommendations 1 - 14 re Public Involvement in the Selection of a Design Concept the Committee;

RECOMMENDS

- "1. Our schedule calls for the three design concepts with plans, models and perspectives to be completed by the 22nd April.

## STANDING COMMITTEE ON CIVIC DEVELOPMENT

February 5, 1974

Clause 3 cont'd

3

2. The Citizens' Advisory Panel will provide advice to the design teams during the development of the three design concepts.
3. The three design concepts will be explained at Council 23rd April to be attended by the Citizens' Advisory Panel, City staff, Park and School representatives, City Planning Commission and any others deemed appropriate. This would be an information session.
4. The Citizens' Advisory Panel would be requested to prepare and submit to the Development Consultant evaluations including recommendations on the three concepts, for forwarding to the Director of Planning by 21st May.
5. Following the Council meeting 23rd April, the models and appropriate descriptive material of the three concepts will be displayed over a four week period at various locations around the City, during which time individual citizens will be invited to review the concepts and record their opinions. The Development Consultant will collect and summarize these opinions and submit them to the Director of Planning by the 21st May.
6. Following the Council meeting 23rd April, the Park Board, the School Board and the Vancouver City Planning Commission will be invited to evaluate the three concepts and submit their evaluation and recommendations to the Director of Planning by the 21st May.
7. Following the Council meeting 23rd April, the Director of Planning will commence an internal evaluation of the three concepts following the normal process with the Technical Planning Board, Design Panel etc. This review should be complete by 21st May.
8. Following the 21st May the Director of Planning will summarize for report to Council the internal and external inputs as described above.
9. The various recommendations and inputs will be reviewed under the chairmanship of the Director of Planning by a five person group consisting of the Director of Planning, an Architect, the Development Consultant and two others representing the development and engineering fields.
10. The Director of Planning will submit the report of the group described in 9. together with his report described in 8. to Council, to be considered by Council on 11th June.
11. Vancouver City Council taking into account the reports from the Director of Planning and any other relevant material will make a decision as to the concept which will be advanced for public hearing.
12. It is anticipated that the public hearing will be called and a decision reached on rezoning before the end of June.
13. It is anticipated that the CD1 zoning by-law will have some controlled flexibility, as for example the division of building areas into uses.
14. It is hoped that applications from Marathon and Area 10 can be advanced to the public hearing at the same time."

STANDING COMMITTEE ON CIVIC DEVELOPMENT  
February 5, 1974  
Clause 3 cont'd

4

The Committee, in considering the second set of regulations, "The Identification of Cooperatives and Senior Citizens' and Special Housing Sponsors";

RECOMMENDS

- "1. It is proposed that the United Housing Foundation be asked to seek, identify and record the names and addresses of people interested in cooperatives in Area 6, False Creek. This procedure to commence immediately.
2. When the design process is far enough advanced to identify the number of cooperatives the Development Consultant will work with the United Housing Foundation or other qualified agencies to organize the cooperative associations and to commence the financing, design and construction procedure.
3. It is proposed that the Development Consultant seek, identify and record potential sponsors of senior citizens' housing or other specialized housing as defined in Federal or Provincial assistance programmes. This procedure to start immediately.
4. As early as possible in the development process the Development Consultant will work with the sponsors of senior citizens' and special housing in the processing of financing, design and construction procedures.
5. As the cooperative associations are identified and the sponsors of senior citizens' housing are identified these will be reported to City Council."
6. That the Development Consultant initiate and maintain a register of persons interested in housing in Area 6 both for "market" housing and other types.

The Committee adjourned at 10:00 a.m.

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S) 286

REPORT TO COUNCILSTANDING COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL  
ON HOUSING

February 5, 1974

A meeting of the Standing Committee of Council on Housing was held in the #1 Committee Room, 3rd floor, City Hall, on Tuesday, February 5, 1974 at approximately 10:30 a.m.

PRESENT: Alderman Harcourt, Chairman  
Alderman Rankin  
Alderman Volrich

ALSO  
PRESENT: Alderman Marzari

ABSENT: Alderman Massey (at Civic Development  
Committee meeting)

COMMITTEE  
CLERK: D. Bennett

The Minutes of the meeting of January 15, 1974 were adopted.

RECOMMENDATION

1. Senior Citizens Housing Site  
Association of Benevolent Craftsmen

Mr. Wm. Rees, President of the Association of Benevolent Craftsmen, appeared before the Committee and requested that the City sell to their Association one of four sites in order that they may construct a senior citizens housing unit. Their preference was for Site No.1 which is located on the West Side of Renfrew Street between 5th and 6th Avenues. The Association assured the Committee that they are in a sound financial position and would be able to complete this project. On this site the Association propose to build 52-1 bedroom units of 420 sq. ft. and 42 bachelor units of 340 sq. ft., three storeys in height of wood frame construction with a F.S.R. of 0.75.

After discussion on this proposal it was

RECOMMENDED

That this Committee approve in principle the sale of Site No.1 being on the West Side of Renfrew Street between 5th and 6th Avenues to the Association of Benevolent Craftsmen or to the Provincial Government for lease back to the Association subject to a report back on the conditions of sale.

cont'd .....

Standing Committee of Council on Housing . . . . . 2  
February 5, 1974

### INFORMATION

#### 2. Acquisition of Land at 22nd and Crown for a Co-operative Housing Proposal

Mrs. L. Duprey appeared on behalf of the Penta Co-operative Housing Committee, filed a brief and requested that the City of Vancouver lease the property, designated as Lots 1-3, Block 42, D.L.139, located on Crown Street between 21st and 22nd Avenues, to their Society for the purpose of constructing five housing units. Mrs. Duprey advised that other sites had been investigated in the area, particularly at 20th and Camosun, but this property was considered too boggy for such a development.

Mr. R. Youngberg and Mr. J. Aitken when speaking to the matter advised that the property requested has been designated by Council as a potential park site, that the soil conditions are not good and that soil tests must be taken. Furthermore, the general area is single family zoning. Mr. Youngberg recommended that the Penta Co-operative Housing Committee look at the site north of 21st Avenue on Camosun.

After due consideration it was

#### RESOLVED

That the matter of the City leasing Lots 1-3, Block 42, D.L.139, to this Society be deferred so that the Penta Co-operative Housing Committee can investigate the site north of 21st Avenue for development.

It was suggested that should the Penta Co-operative Housing Committee decide on a larger site that they give consideration to a larger development. Also that they should investigate the possibility of the Provincial Government buying the site they are interested in and leasing it back to them. It was agreed that the Supervisor of Property and Insurance and Mr. Youngberg would arrange for soil tests to be taken in the area north of 21st Avenue and would discuss costs of the development with the developers.

(Alderman Marzari was present during the consideration of Clause 2)

#### 3. Anchor Hotel

At the request of Mr. W. A. Bruce, General Manager, Liquor Administration Branch, Victoria, the Medical Health Officer submitted a report dated January 21, 1974 on the conditions of the Anchor Hotel at 103 Columbia Street, Vancouver. The M. H. O. confirmed in his report that difficulty respecting conditions has been experienced and that charges initiated by his department led on January 7, 1974 to a fine of \$250 for failure to maintain the premises in a clean and sanitary condition.

Mr. D. A. Morgan, Director of Environmental Health, advised the Committee that the management is slowly improving the premises, however, the Committee was of the opinion that rapid improvement was required.

After discussion it was agreed that Mr. Morgan should re-inspect the Anchor Hotel advising the management that quicker and more positive action must be taken to bring these premises up to standard if they wish to retain their rooming house license; Mr. Morgan to report back to the Committee in two weeks' time.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 11:45 a.m.

REPORT TO COUNCILSTANDING COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL  
ON SOCIAL SERVICES

February 7, 1974

A meeting of the Standing Committee of Council on Social Services was held on Thursday, February 7, 1974 in the No. 1 Committee Room, third floor, City Hall at approximately 1:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Alderman Rankin (Chairman)  
Aldermen Gibson and Marzari

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. J. Denofreo, Executive Assistant to  
the Minister of Human Resources  
Mr. R. Butler, Assistant Director,  
Community Resources, Department of  
Human Resources

CLERK: M. Kinsella

The Minutes of the meetings of January 21 and January 24, 1974 were adopted.

RECOMMENDATION

1. Probation Services Department and Provincial  
Courts (Family Division) - Re-organization

Vancouver City Council at its meeting of January 15, 1974, when considering the report of the Board of Administration dated January 11, 1974 quoted below, passed the following motion:

- "THAT (a) this clause be received, and
- (b) this clause be referred to the Standing Committee on Social Services for consideration, further,
- (c) the Standing Committee discuss the matter with Probation Officers and other related personnel."

The Assistant Chief Probation Officer and members of the Probation staff discussed the following report in detail with your Committee:

\*Your Board has had an examination made of the Probation Services Department and the Provincial Courts (Family Division) to eliminate the causes of complaints received during 1973, the principal complaints being: (1) the length of time elapsing between the date of making an application under the Family Relations Act and the date of the Court Hearing, and (2) the backlog of work accumulated by the Probation Officers dealing with Family matters.

The attached report has now been received; the recommendations are as follows:

A. Reciprocal Enforcement of Maintenance Orders (REMO) - (See Page two of attached report for details)

- (i) On receipt of documents, a summons to be issued with a returnable date five working days ahead of issue date (instead of 21 days as at present).

cont'd ....



Clause No. 1 (cont'd)

(ii) A letter of explanation to be sent with each summons.

(iii) The husband will not be interviewed by a Probation Officer prior to the Court Hearing and the Probation Officer will not become involved unless so ordered by the Judge.

(iv) All reasonable steps will be taken by the Court Administrator before returning the documents to the Attorney General "not able to locate".

(v) The Provincial Courts Department to process "REMO" items; the "Probation Assistant" position to be transferred to that Department for that purpose.

B. Assault Charges - (See Page four of attached report for details)

A Probation Officer will be present in Court but will not conduct a "pre-Court" interview or become involved unless so instructed by the Judge hearing the case.

C. Family Relations Act and other Cases - (See Page five of attached report for details).

The Supervisor, when assigning cases, will set a date by which the case should appear before a Judge of the Family Division and will review cases periodically with each Probation Officer.

D. Integration of Services - (See Page six of attached report for details)

The Probation Service be organized into five (5) District Teams comprised of both Family and Juvenile Probation Officers. The Departmental Staffing establishment will then be:

District

Burrard	6	Probation Officers
North	6	"
East	5	"
South	5	"
West	5	"
	<u>27</u>	

Intake

Juvenile	1	
Family	2	3 Probation Officers
Chief Probation Officer	1	
Asst. "	1	
Clerk Steno IV (Secretary)	1	
Total Establishment	<u>33</u>	

E. Additional Staff Requirement and Estimated Costs  
 - (See Page eight of attached report for details).

(i) To implement the recommendation "D" the following additional positions be established.

1 - Probation Officer III
2 - " " II
1 - " " I

(ii) Estimated Costs - Additional

Salaries & Fringe Benefits	\$50,720
Auto Allowances	2,340
Paging Devices	<u>3,000</u>
	<u>\$56,060</u>

F. The positions be classified by the Director of Personnel Services and submitted to the Board of Administration for approval.

G. If this report is approved, the additional costs to be included in the 1974 Departmental Budget of Expenditures and authorization granted for expenditure of necessary funds prior to approval of the budget.

- NOTES (a) This report has been discussed with the Business Manager of the Municipal and Regional Employees Union. He concurs herein.
- (b) This report has been reviewed by His Honour, District Judge L.S. Eckardt and the Administrator of the Provincial Courts. They concur with the recommended procedures."

Standing Committee of Council on Social Services . . . . . 3  
February 7, 1974

Clause No. 1 (cont'd)

The representatives of the Probation Department stressed the need for additional staff because of the continuing crisis situation due to lack of adequate staff. Following further discussion it was

RECOMMENDED

- A. THAT Council approve recommendation E, Additional Staff requirement and estimated cost, subject to written confirmation from the Provincial Probation Services Department that they are willing to assume the full cost of this additional staff;
- B. THAT recommendations A, B, C, and D quoted above be referred to the Provincial Chief Probation Officer for consideration.

INFORMATION

2. C.P.I.C. System and Probationers

The Standing Committee on Social Services at its meeting of October 25, 1973, resolved

"To receive the report of the Chief Probation Officer and instruct the Chief Probation Officer to forward copies of his report to the City Prosecutor, District Judge Eckardt, the Attorney-General, the Minister of Human Resources, Children's Aid Society, Judge Murphy at the Family Court, and the Civil Liberties Union, as well as various members of the Bar, for discussion and comment, with a report back to this Committee on their comments."

Your Committee considered the following report of the Chief Probation Officer dated January 31, 1974:

"As a result of this resolution correspondence and/or verbal contact was carried out with all the above individuals and representatives of the agencies, and replies received as follows: Office of the City Prosecutor, City of Vancouver; Office of the District Judge, City of Vancouver; Office of the Attorney-General, Province of British Columbia (Chief Judge of the Provincial Court); Children's Aid Society; Provincial Court (Family Division), City of Vancouver; Senior Judge; Civil Liberties Association; Mr. H. D. Boyle, Barrister & Solicitor; Mr. M. F. Harcourt, Barrister & Solicitor; Community Legal Assistance Society (Mr. Harvey Field, Barrister & Solicitor); Legal Aid Society (Mr. Frank Macako, Barrister & Solicitor); Office of the Chief Constable, City of Vancouver.

The extent of the opinions contained in the reactions of the above sources has been most gratifying and the contributors are to be congratulated for the enthusiasm reflected in their remarks. I wish to report that over 70% of the opinions received were opposed to the proposal of introducing Probationers into the C.P.I.C. system. Although not explicitly stated in each and every case, I am of the opinion, however, that most if not all of the contributors would agree that the alternative involving the use of Probation Services being made available after the usual working hours of the day be investigated and pursued further.

In view of the majority dissent to the proposal, as well as the particular concerns expressed by the Chief Judge regarding individual rights, confidentiality, and privacy of matters concerning juveniles, it is the request of the Chief Probation Officer to withdraw the original proposal placed before the Committee.

cont'd .....

Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

FURTHER RESOLVED

That the Children's Aid Society and the Chief Probation Officer be requested to report back to this Committee within a month on more effective alternatives to the proposal to distribute information Canada wide on terms of probation of juvenile offenders.

In view of the results obtained from Resolution Number One, coupled with the pending inclusion of the Probation Services, City of Vancouver, under the offices of the Attorney-General, and the imminent "takeover" of the Children's Aid Society by the Department of Human Resources, discussions involving the possible alternatives have been also discontinued because any further considerations it is felt would have been somewhat redundant under the circumstances. Therefore, there is nothing further to report to this Committee regarding this resolution at this point in time."

RESOLVED

THAT the above report of the Chief Probation Officer dated January 31, 1974 be received for information.

3. Shelter - Emergency Accommodation Service  
Operated by the Provincial Government

Your Committee received the following report from Margaret Davies, part-time temporary worker, Vancouver Welfare Department:

"The need for emergency accommodation is recognized already by the provision of public funds to support programmes attempting to meet the need. In Vancouver, programmes meeting different aspects of the need are provided by the Crisis Centre, the YWCA, the Welfare Department, at least two information centres (The Red Door and The West End Information Centre) and some hostels for transient youth. Some programme operators wish to expand and are seeking public funds for their purposes. There is, at present, no co-ordination of these services.

Following a recent examination of the programmes, this report RECOMMENDS:

1. That the minister sets up in his department an emergency accommodation service branch to be called SHELTER.
2. That SHELTER:
  - a. Co-ordinates all emergency accommodation services receiving public funds.
  - b. Regulates the operation of emergency accommodation services. (\*)
  - c. Establishes and provides funds for new emergency accommodation services as, from time-to-time, they are requested or for which need is established.
  - d. Encourages the setting-up of, provides funds for and co-ordinates the day-to-day operations of local area housing registries. (\*\*)
  - e. Maintains close and continuous liaison with Community Resource Boards.
3. That the provincial government buys a motel in Vancouver and operates it principally but not exclusively for the use of families in critical need of short-term accommodation. (\*\*\*)

NOTES

- (\*) The regulations would deal with matters such as; hours of operation, locations of services (at the same places where applications for social assistance are made, wherever possible), staff effectiveness (continuity of workers is most important). As is the case now with funding local information centres, certain minimum operating standards must be set, but operating styles must be adapted to meet the needs of those using the services.

Clause No. 3 (cont'd)

- (\*\*) The registries must provide, as a public service designed to meet a basic human need, an effective alternative to the commercial enterprises currently operating in this field.
- (\*\*\*) It must have at least 25 units. Most of these must have kitchen facilities. A grocery store operated by the management would be an advantage to those directed to the motel by the social service agencies. The motel should be close to a day-care centre with which special arrangements should be made.

It was noted that at its meeting of January 10, 1974, the Committee when considering relocation services referred this matter back to the Director of Social Planning for further review and report back to the Committee with specific recommendations. The consensus was that Mrs. Davies' report should be considered in conjunction with the Director of Social Planning's report on the housing relocation service and that Mrs. Davies be requested to be present when the Committee is considering this item. It was suggested that consideration could be given to possible use of the Armed Forces accommodation at Jericho as emergency accommodation. Alderman Gibson undertook to look into this matter for report back to the Committee. Following further discussion, it was

RESOLVED

THAT consideration of this matter be deferred pending receipt of the report of the Director of Social Planning on housing relocation service, at which time Mrs. Margaret Davies be requested to be present.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 1:55 p.m.

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S) 287

REPORT TO COUNCILSTANDING COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL  
ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

February 7, 1974

A meeting of the Standing Committee of Council on Community Development was held in the No.1 Committee Room, third floor, City Hall, on Thursday, February 7, 1974, at approximately 3:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Alderman Volrich, Chairman  
Alderman Harcourt  
Alderman Marzari  
Alderman Rankin

CLERK: D. Bennett

---

The Minutes of the meeting held January 17, 1974 were adopted as amended by Council on February 5, 1974, and the Minutes of January 24, 1974 were adopted.

INFORMATION1. Public Meetings

The Chairman advised that public meetings had been arranged as follows:

Kitsilano Local Area Planning Program  
Wednesday, February 20, 1974 at 7:30 p.m.  
in the Kitsilano Secondary School

and

"Illegal Suites" Policy  
Thursday, February 28, 1974 at 7:30 p.m.  
in the Council Chamber

## RESOLVED

That the foregoing public meetings be approved.

2. Grandview-Woodland Planning Program

Representatives of the Grandview Woodland Area Council headed by Mr. George Legebokoff appeared before the Committee and presented a brief which dealt with the immediate neighbourhood concerns, the urban trends affecting Grandview-Woodland, their objectives and recommendations.

Mr. Legebokoff reviewed the brief and the Committee discussed with the delegation the following recommendations contained in the brief:

cont'd .....

Standing Committee of Council on  
Community Development, February 7, 1974 . . . . . 2

Clause No.2 continued

- " (i) That the Grandview-Woodland Area Council be authorized by City Council to develop, in cooperation with City Council, recommendations regarding community goals and policies for adoption by City Council. It is suggested that an alderman acceptable to both the Area Council and City Council should be assigned to assist the Area Council in this process.
- (ii) That planning staff and other required resources be made available to the Area Council to assist it in developing these community goals and policies.  
Role of the Planning Staff:  
In order to develop a cooperative approach to planning it is agreed that staff should be members of the planning department who are assigned to work with the Area Council. This will facilitate communication and information exchange. The staff should be hired jointly by the Area Council and the Director of Planning. It will be their responsibility to work with the Area Council in developing community goals and policies and working out programs to implement these.
- (iii) That all planning matters relating to the Grandview-Woodland area be referred to the Area Council for its recommendation to City Council.
- (iv) That the City apply for funds to carry out a Neighbourhood Improvement Program in the Grandview-Woodland area within the framework of the planning process outlined above. "

Mr. R. Youngberg advised the Committee that he had discussed the brief with the Grandview-Woodland Area Council and they agreed in principle that some local area planning program should be established for this area. However, he felt the Committee should discuss staff resources if consideration is given to implementing this program. The Committee discussed the possibility of obtaining resources through the Neighbourhood Improvement Program, and after considerable discussion it was

RESOLVED

That the Grandview-Woodland Area Council be authorized by this Committee to establish community goals and policies for a local area planning process.

FURTHER RESOLVED

That we approve in principle the Grandview-Woodland area for a local area planning program with planning resources to be made available to develop community goals and policies and that we request the Director of Planning to report back on such a program, the budgeting of such a program, and the recommendations of the Area Council as presented in their brief and quoted above.

3. Champlain Heights Advisory Committee

Alderman Volrich advised that he would like this matter deferred to the next meeting as he would have more relevant information available at that time.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 4:30 p.m.

PART REPORT TO COUNCILSTANDING COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL  
ON SOCIAL SERVICES

February 14, 1974

A meeting of the Standing Committee of Council on Social Services was held on Thursday, February 14, 1974 in the No. 1 Committee Room, third floor, City Hall at approximately 1:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Alderman Rankin (Chairman)  
Aldermen Gibson and Hardwick

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. J. Denofreo, Executive Assistant  
to the Minister of Human Resources

CLERK: M. Kinsella

RECOMMENDATION

1. Vancouver Indian Centre - Request for  
Use of Space at North Area Unit

The Standing Committee on Social Services at its meeting of January 31, 1974 resolved

"THAT the Director of Social Planning investigate the availability of a room at the North Area Service Unit for use by the home-school co-ordinators of the Vancouver Indian Centre."

Mr. D. Purdy, Social Planner, advised that he had met with Dr. K. Saunders, Assistant Medical Health Officer, North Unit office, on this matter. There is a vacant office available at the unit for use by the Vancouver Indian Centre. However, there is no phone in this office nor is it furnished.

RECOMMENDED

THAT Council authorize the North Area Service Management Team to make available to the Vancouver Indian Centre a room at the North Unit for use by their home-school co-ordinators;

FURTHER THAT Council approve grants to the Vancouver Indian Centre

- (a) equivalent to the cost of installation and rental of telephone services in the office allocated to them at the North Area Service Unit;
- (b) equivalent to the purchase of surplus office furniture and equipment from the City's surplus stock at Manitoaba Yards and that the Purchasing Agent be authorized to immediately release the required items to the Vancouver Indian Centre.

Standing Committee of Council on Social Services . . . . . 2  
February 14, 1974

2. Vancouver Indian Centre - Request  
for Surplus City-owned car

The Standing Committee on Social Services at its meeting of January 31, 1974 resolved

"THAT the Director of Social Planning investigate the possibility of a surplus City-owned car being made available to the Vancouver Indian Centre for use by Mrs. Dennis, Home-school co-ordinator, with a report back to the Committee as soon as possible."

Mr. D. Purdy, Social Planner advised that there is a 1965 standard shift car with low mileage available from the City Engineer's current vehicle replacement programme at a cost of approximately \$200.00.

RECOMMENDED

THAT Council approve a grant to the Vancouver Indian Centre of a 1965 standard shift car which would normally be replaced in the City Engineer's current vehicle replacement programme and that the City Engineer's current replacement account be credited with the value of the car (approximately \$200.00).

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S) 287



REPORT TO COUNCIL  
STANDING COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL  
ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

February 14, 1974

A meeting of the Standing Committee of Council on Community Development was held in No. 1 Committee Room, Third Floor, City Hall, on Thursday February 14, 1974 at approximately 3:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Alderman Volrich, Chairman  
Alderman Harcourt  
Alderman Rankin  
  
ABSENT: Alderman Marzari  
  
CLERK: D. Bennett

RECOMMENDATION

1. Appreciation of Neighbourhood Improvement Program

The Committee had before it a report of the Director of Planning dated February 12, 1974 attached to which was a report dated February 1974 from the City Planning Department entitled Neighbourhood Improvement in Vancouver. The attached report was an appreciation of how the City of Vancouver would utilize the Neighbourhood Improvement Program to improve its communities.

Mr. Geach, Assistant Director, Community Planning Division, advised that the Chairman of the Community Development Committee together with the Director of Planning, the Director of Social Planning and certain other staff members met with the senior levels of Government on January 17, 1974 to explain the City's policy relating to the Neighbourhood Improvement Program and ascertain the senior levels of Government's present position and intentions. The City was informed at this meeting that the first step would be for the City to forward to the senior levels of Government an "appreciation" of how the Neighbourhood Improvement Program would be used in Vancouver. This appreciation is to be received by the Provincial Government no later than February 28, 1974. Allocations will then be made to the individual municipalities based on the appreciations that are received.

Mr. D. Janczewski, Planner, reviewed for the information of the Committee the City Planning Department's report dated February 1974, a copy of which is attached, and after consideration of the recommendations contained in the covering report of the Director of Planning dated February 12, 1974, it was

RECOMMENDED THAT:

- (a) the attached report be adopted, and forwarded to the Province of British Columbia and Central Mortgage & Housing Corporation, as an appreciation of how the City of Vancouver would utilize the Neighbourhood Improvement Program to improve its communities.
- (b) the City request the Province to allocate \$1,000,000 of Provincial funds and \$2,000,000 of Federal funds for the Neighbourhood Improvement Program.
- (c) the City allocate \$1,000,000 as its share of the program from Urban Renewal Funds.

INFORMATION

2. Champlain Heights Advisory Committee

The Chairman advised that he had not yet received the information

Standing Committee of Council  
on Community Development  
February 14, 1974 . . . . . 2

required in order to orally report on the subject matter. The Committee agreed to postpone consideration of this item until a subsequent meeting.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 4:10 p.m.

\* \* \* \*

FOR COUNCIL ACTION SEE PAGE(S).....288